## F.No. 3/15/2016-MsDP Ministry of Minority Affairs Govt. of India

22 2

# MINUTES OF THE 109<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE UNDER MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME HELD ON 15.02.2016 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS.

The 109<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Empowered Committee (EC) for Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP) was held on 15.02.2016, under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs, to consider and approve the project proposals with regard to the Minority Concentration Blocks (MCBs)/Minority Concentration Towns (MCTs) received from State Governments of Haryana and Arunachal Pradesh. The representatives of the Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation, Department of Labour & Employment, Department of Road, Transport and Highways, Department of School Education and Literacy, Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Social Justice and Empowerment, Haryana, and Deputy Commissioner, Mewat District of Haryana attended the meeting. The district magistrates and other officials from both the State Governments participated in the meeting through video conferencing. A list of officials present in the meeting in person or through video conference is annexed as annexure - II.

2. **Progress on website:** The progress of implementation of MsDP is reported on quarterly basis to the Delivery Monitoring Unit (DMU) in PMO (Prime Minister's Office) and the status of implementation of various projects in terms of funds released by the Ministry of Minority Affairs and utilized by the State/UT; number of works sanctioned, completed and works in progress is regularly monitored. These details and photographs of works are placed in the Ministry's website for transparency. State Governments/UT Administrations are required to review the implementation on quarterly basis; ensure that Central funds are released to the districts within one month of sanction; State share (wherever applicable) released along with the Central funds; ensure that the executing agencies start the construction works at the earliest, and complete the construction works within the scheduled period. A computer software application called MIS-MsDP has been made operational in July, 2011 and the States/UT have been advised to ensure that data is entered by all concerned so that Quarterly Progress Report (QPR), utilization certificate and various progress reports is generated through the software.



3. <u>Adhering to guidelines and eliminating duplication:</u> States/UTs should ensure that the proposals sent have the approval of the State Level Committee of MsDP / mission director of CSS concerned in order to ensure that the proposal, in terms of specification, norms, standards etc., is in accordance with the guidelines of the scheme concerned and that duplication has been ruled out. The responsibility for eliminating duplication of work and avoiding double counting of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. Accounts under MsDP should be maintained separately and Central Ministry concerned informed of assets created in respect of CSS topped up under MsDP.

3.

4. The States/UTs were advised by the Empowered Committee to ensure that the catchment area of the assets created under MsDP have substantial minority population. Further the States/UTs would also ensure that the ownership of the asset created would be with the Govt/Govt body.

5. <u>Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) guidelines:</u> MsDP provides that there would be no change in guidelines of any existing Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) under implementation in such districts for which this programme would provide additional funds. In case schemes for individual benefits are taken up under the programme, there shall be no divergence from existing norms for selection of beneficiaries from the list of BPL families in the district, so that benefits from the additional funds flow to all BPL families and not selectively. However, the provision under Indira Awas Yojana (IAY) guidelines for making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST) will not be followed under MsDP. The entire number of units sanctioned under MsDP would be given to BPL households, from minority communities as well as other communities, in order of the serial number in the approved wait list without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST).

5.1. <u>Anganwadi Centre</u>: Wherever Aganwadi Centre has been approved, it should be ensured to follow the norms of Ministry of Women and Child Development that the minimum area of AWC would be 600 sq. feet with a sitting room for children/women, separate kitchen, store for storing food items, child friendly toilets and space for children to play, with drinking water facility.

6. <u>**Timely release of funds:**</u> The sanction letters of the Ministry stipulate that funds should be released to the district/implementing agency within a month's time, but the

States/UT have been generally taking much time in releasing funds to the district/implementing agency. State shares, wherever applicable, are not released along with the Central funds by some States. Prompt release of funds was advised to ensure that works are started at the earliest.

3.

7. <u>Utilisation Certificate:</u> For release of second installment, utilization certificate (UC) is required. If the UC is furnished within a period of one year after release by the Ministry, 60% UC would be required for releasing the next installment. However, if utilization is made beyond the period of a year, 100% utilization would be necessary. The UCs would need to be accompanied by QPR showing physical progress as proof of the work in progress. The Utilization Certificates of the 2<sup>nd</sup> and subsequent installment should also be submitted by the States/UTs to the Ministry.

8. <u>Sample testing and quality control:</u> Quality control was to be ensured through regular and frequent field visits and by testing samples of the construction work for which funds would be sanctioned by the Ministry on receipt of request from the State concerned. All the States/UTs were advised to carry out field visits, quality control tests and review all works under MsDP to ensure that the shortcomings do not take place in their State/UT.

9. <u>Inclusion of Members of Parliament in State and district level committees:</u> Members of Parliament (MP) and Member of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) have been included in the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the committee for MsDP. MLAs are also to be nominated on the Committees by the State Government. It should be ensured that they are invited to attend the meetings of the district and State level committees.

10. **Display boards:** Para 16.1(ii) of the scheme of MsDP provides for a 'display board' which should have– Name of the project/scheme printed followed by "Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Govt. of India." For projects with longer construction period, in addition to above, the name of implementing agency, date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion and estimated cost of the project should be printed on the display boards. States/UT should ensure this and put up a permanent display on completion of each project.

### General conditions applicable to projects approved by the Empowered Committee 11.

For approvals given by the Empowered Committee, including in-principle approvals, the Principal Secretary/Secretary of State's/UTs and the District Collectors/representatives were advised to note that the following conditions would apply to all projects under MsDP. State Government/UT administration concerned should ensure that the following have been complied with:-

Approval of the State department concerned/Mission Director for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) concerned have covered the following -

- Need for having the proposal sanctioned under MsDP. (a)
- Duplication has been eliminated. (b)
- Proposal is as per norms, specifications, layout design, funding norms and cost · (c) norms of the CSS guidelines concerned.
  - Separate accounts for schemes under MsDP will be maintained and details sent (d) to the Central Ministry concerned for maintaining proper record of assets and avoiding double counting and duplication.
  - Estimates have been prepared as per Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and have been approved by a competent engineering department of (e) the State Govt.
  - Staff is available for functioning unit or will be provided for new unit. (f)
  - Recurring expenditure would be provided by the State Government. (g)
  - Land is available and/or will be made available by the State Government in villages/locations having the highest proportion (percentage) of minority (h) population.

### Review meetings of Oversight committee in State and district level committees: 12.

The scheme of MsDP envisages quarterly review meetings by the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the review committees for MsDP. The State level committee also acts as the Oversight Committee for MsDP. Quarterly review meetings for State level committee/State Oversight Committee and district level Committee should be held and copy of the meeting notice should be sent to the Ministry to enable a representative to attend State Level meetings as envisaged under MsDP. It should be ensured that Members of Parliament nominated in such Committees are invited to attend the meetings.

13. Provision of toilets and bathrooms : The EC emphasized that there should be adequate number of toilets and bathrooms and provision of water in the proposed projects.

14. The Chairman (EC) emphasized that the benefits accrued by the implementation of MsDP should go to the Minority Communities properly. Therefore, not only location of the assets in the areas having substantial minority population is important, but it is also equally important to see that the assets created are actually imparting benefits to the minorities. The State Governments were impressed upon to propose the locations of projects under MsDP accordingly.

#### (A) Haryana

The State Govt. of Haryana has submitted project proposals for 6 districts of Haryana for implementation of MsDP during 12<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan under restructured MsDP. The State Govt of Haryana submitted following declaration with regard to the projects as per Appendix-II of the guidelines:-

- The land for all construction activities is available.
- It has been ensured that all locations proposed have atleast 25% minority population in its catchment area.
- The Cost estimates proposed for different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/ design prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work.
- It has been ensured that there is no duplication of the work with any Scheme of the Center /State Government and concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted in this regard.
- The maintenance and recurring cost related with the projects will be borne by the concerned department of State Govt.
- The plan proposals has been approved by State level Committee (SLC) for 15 PP Programme.

2. The project proposals discussed in the meeting are as follows:

(i) Institute of Driver Training and Traffic Research (IDTR): Govt of Haryana has proposed IDTRs at Chapera in Nuh block (@ Rs 21.70 crore), District Mewat and one at village Rupraka, Hathin block (@Rs 16.44 crore), District, Palwal. The State Govt has pointed out that, both the institutes are need of the people of the District for almost two decades as the region is the top supplier of Heavy Vehicle drivers to the Northern India. Land has already been acquired from the Gram Panchayat for the purpose. Project reports are ready and even Maruti Udyog Ltd has committed to give training and bear the training cost. Once sanctioned, institutes can be commissioned in 2 years time and leave visible impact in the area. Bahadurgarh and Rohtak already have IDTR. It is a mandate of the Department of Surface Transport, Govt of India that each district must have one IDTR.

The representative of Ministry of Road Transport and Highways observed that, Central Govt is funding one Driver Training Institute (DTI) in a state. The Ministry has already sanctioned a DTI at Bhiwani 4.5 years back, but till date it is not functional. He further pointed out that land must be in possession of Govt. and a certificate is required for that. A bifurcated cost abstract should be submitted. The cost projected for construction should be as per SOR and vetted by PWD. The project should be commercially viable. The details about meeting of recurring cost required. The fund is to be released in seven installments, 10%, 10%, 20%, 20%, 20%, 10%, 10%. The IDTR must be managed by a society and a member of MoRT must be there. Latest cost sanctioned by the Central Govt is Rs 17.00 crore.

The EC observed that the projects are very important and beneficial for the minorities of the area. Therefore, EC agreed 'in-principle' for setting up of IDTR at the two places. EC observed that a society on the norms of MoRT should the constituted to run the IDTR. However, since no such project has been approved under MsDP so far, hence Detail Project Report needs to be thoruroghly examined by the concerned Ministry. The representative of briefed the EC that the DPR can be examined by Central Institute of Road and Transport (CIRT), Pune, Maharashtra. The EC directed the State representatives to get the DPR evaluated from CIRT, Pune and again approach to the Ministry for consideration of the project recasting it on the lines of the scheme of Ministry of Road, Transport and Highways.

(ii) Construction of ITI: The Govt. of Haryana has submitted the project proposal for construction of 4 ITIs in different locations. The Checklist alongwith the name of the trades have been submitted by the State govt. The number of Trades varies from 7 to 10.

(a) ITI at Yamuna Nagar (Chachrauli in village Devdhar): The proposal was discussed at length .The EC was briefed that one ITI in the same block, Chachrauli has already been approved in 2013-14 under MsDP. There is no financial and physical progress in that ITI. Further, the EC desired to know the percentage of minority population in the village Devdhar and its surroundings. It was informed that village Devdhar, have only 10% minority population however, if we take the villages in surrounding within 10 kms radius the minority population is 28%.

The EC opined that the location of asset should have substantial minority population i.e. at least 25%. Therefore, the State Govt should come with a fresh proposal with appropriate location. The Chairman of the EC also expressed concern over slow implementation of ITI project approved earlier for the same block under MsDP.

(b) **ITI at Fatehabad** (Dharsulkalan in Tohana block and Jakhan Dhadi in Ratia block) has 7 trades (Mechanic Refrigeration and Air condition, Plumber, Fitter, Mechanic motor vehicle Electrician, Dress Making and Welder). Every trade has two units and the student capacity is 216. Total area of construction is 1147 sqmtr which includes workshop (692 sqmtr), 7 theory rooms (210 sqmtr), miscellaneous requirement like office, store, principal room etc (245 sqmtr). Adding 40% more floor area, the total floor area has been calculated at 1680 sqmtr. Tentative cost for building @ Rs 16,000 per sqmtr is 270 lakh. Adding to the cost of machinery (155 lakh) and furniture Rs 7.00 lakh , the total cost comes at Rs 432 lakh. EC agreed to grant the cost of boundary wall proposed at Rs 70.00 lakh. However, cost of implementing agency and other state taxes were not agreed to.

Thus, the total cost comes at **Rs 502.00 lakh**. The maximum grant for an ITI under MsDP has been Rs 500.00 lakh. In the present case the central share would be Rs 301.20 lakh (60% of the total cost).

The Certification of NCVT has been also submitted by the State. Land is available. As regards minority population, the Deputy Commissioner informed that both the locations have sizable minority population of around 36%. He also informed that the district has 3 govt ITIs and 17 private ITIs. Even then, these ITIs are required for minority.

The norms and cost of the ITIs were seen by the representative of DGET and he endorsed the proposal. The EC approved the ITIs.

it is

(c) ITI at Sirsa (Jivan Nagar in Ellanabad) has 7 trades (Mechanic Refrigeration and Air condition, Plumber, Fitter, Mechanic motor vehicle Electrician, Dress Making and Welder). Every trade has two units and the student capacity is 216. Total area is 1147 sqmtr which includes workshop (692 sqmtr), 7 theory rooms (210 sqmtr), miscellaneous requirement like office, store, principal room etc (245 sqmtr). Adding 40% more floor area, the total floor area has been calculated at 1680 sqmtr. Tentative cost for building @ Rs 16,000 per sqmtr is 270 lakh. Adding to the cost of machinery (155 lakh) and furniture Rs 7.00 lakh, the total cost comes at Rs 432 lakh. EC agreed to grant the cost of boundary wall also which has been proposed at Rs 70.00 lakh. Thus, the total cost comes at **Rs 502.00 lakh**. The maximum grant for an ITI under MsDP has been Rs 500.00 lakh. In the present case the central share would be Rs 301.20 lakh (60% of the total cost).

The Certification of NCVT of has been also submitted by the State. Land is available. As regards minority population, the Deputy Commissioner informed that both the locations have sizable minority population of around 55%. He also informed that the district has 4 govt ITIs and 8 private ITIs. Even then, these ITIs are required for minority.

The norms and cost of the ITIs were seen by the representative of DGET and he endorsed the proposal. The EC approved the ITIs.

iii). Construction of hostel and classroom for 120 girls: The project has been proposed at Ratia and Tohana blocks in Fatehabad district, Ellanabad and Baraguda blocks in Sirsa district. There are Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (KGBV) for girls in educationally backward school of Haryana. These are Residential schools for 6 to 8<sup>th</sup> class. There has been a demand from all the districts that these KGBVs be upgraded upto class 12. Apart from construction of hostels for 120 girls of class 9 to 12, the project would undertake upgradation of school by giving additional class rooms, science labs, computer room, headmaster room, office room, art and craft room, library, toilet and water facility. Land for construction is available.

**Total area of hostel building** is 2366.9 sqmtr (Two floors and mumty) and the proposed cost @ Rs 9800/- per sqmtr is Rs 231.96 lakh. Since, the proposed rate is as per PWD B&R rate of 2010, a cost escalation of 25% has been proposed. Adding to 0.75% contingency charge the total cost of hostel building comes at **Rs 292.11 lakh**.

The cost of provision of internal public health services, EI services, roads and internal roads and parking, rain water harvesting underground tank. Provision for water cooler and RO as well as fans and lights have been deducted from the estimated cost, because these are very small items and are required only at finishing stage. Cost also may vary by that time.

**Total area of school building** is 1017.36 sqmtr and the proposed cost @ Rs 9800/- per sqmtr is Rs 99.70 lakh. Since, the proposed rate is as per PWD B&R rate of 2010, a cost escalation of 25% has been proposed. Adding to 0.75% contingency charge the total cost of hostel building comes at **Rs 114.41 lakh**.

The cost of provision of internal public health services, EI services, roads and internal roads and parking and drinking water facilities, provision for water cooler and RO, fans, lights as well as green boards have been deducted from the estimated cost, because these are very small items and are required only at finishing stage. Cost also may vary by that time.

Total cost of hostel and school building comes at Rs 406.53 lakh. The Empowered Committee approved the proposal.

**iv)** Upgradation of CHC into 50 bedded hospital: - The Govt. of Haryana has submitted project for Upgradation of CHC into 50 bedded hospital at Saudhara block in Yamuna Nagar district. The cost of construction is Rs 550.00 lakh. Land for construction is available. However the detail of construction viz cost Abstract and Layout map has not been submitted by the State Govt. It has not been confirmed by the State whether the approval of NRHM has been obtained for this work.

During discussion the Deputy Commissioner, Yamuna Nagar informed that, this is a project of upgraddtion of PHC into 30 bedded CHC. The information changed the nature of project. Therefore, the EC observed to recast the project proposal and send the proposal again to the Ministry.

v) Construction of Health Sub Centre (HSC): The Govt. of Haryana has submitted project for construction of HSC in Sirsa district. The cost of construction is Rs 32.00 lakh. It was confirmed that the villages have substantial minority population. The EC approved the proposal.

**vi)** Anganwadi Centre: The State Govt has proposed Anganwadi Centres in Kaithal district @ Rs 10.00 lakh and in Fatehabad district @ Rs 9.95 lakh. The cost norm provided by Ministry of WCD is Rs 4.5 lakh per Anganwadi. Therefore, any extra cost would be borne by the State Govt from their contribution.

The EC agreed to the proposal subject to the condition that wherever Anganwadi Centre has been approved, it should be ensured to follow the norms of Ministry of Women and Child Development that the minimum area of AWC would be 600 sq. feet with a sitting room for children/women, separate kitchen, store for storing food items, child friendly toilets and space for children to play, with drinking water facility.

vii) Construction of HS School in Chilawali :- It has been informed by the state govt that the design and specification of the school is as per Novadya Vidyalya norms. The sufficient Panchayat land is available in the village for construction of Senior Secondary School. The concerned gram panchayat has submitted the resolution for transferring the land to MDA free of cost. This school will be affiliated to CBSE. The cost of salary of the staff will be borne by Mewat Development Agency itself. Total area of school building is 3603.06 sqmtr. The total cost comes to Rs 414.24 lakh which includes the cost of ground floor, first floor, provision of EI internal services at 5% of building cost, furniture fixture and items for physics , chemistry and biology and computer labs. The cost of land scaping, lane development and provision for PH estate services were not agreed to.

vii) Toilets in School: - Twenty three units of toilets at the Unit cost of Rs 2.18 lakh in Guhla block in Kaithal district were approved by the Empowered Committee because toilets in schools are essential for the students especially for the girls in Haryana.

viii) Block of Additional Class Rooms: Block of ACRs comprising 3-6 ACRs in one block have been proposed in 8 schools at Karkara (5), Bhuna (4), Kharodi (4), Sadarheri(2), Peedal(6), Arnouli(4), Bhusla(4) and Seon Majra (4) in Guhla block in Kaithal District. The unit cost ACRs is Rs 5.82. The EC approved the project subject to the condition that norms of SSA/RMSA would be followed.

ix) **Requirement of Additional Fund to complete ACRs approved earlier**: The state govt has proposed additional fund to complete non started/ in progress civil works under SSA for the year 2003-04 to 2013-14 approved in Mewat and other districts of Haryana. These projects could not be completed due to delay in start of works; land disputes etc and hence require additional funding over and above the cost sanctioned by the Govt of India. A list of 248 such schools which require additional fund has been provided.

The EC observed that the projects have not been approved under MsDP. These projects have been approved long back by other Ministries. It is also not known whether state share in

these projects have been provided or not. Moreover, the guideline of MsDP does not allow any funding on account of cost escalation. The additional amount required per school is meager (mostly in thousands only) and can be provided by the State Govt.

X) **Requirement of Additional Fund to complete Anganwadi Centers approved earlier**: The state govt has proposed additional fund to complete non started/ in progress civil works for AWCs in Ratia block in Fatehabad district Haryana. A list of 12 old AWCs have been provided.

This seems to be case of cost escalation which is not supported under MsDP.

XI) **Provision of Six staff quarters in schools in Nuh Block**: The project would not add to the number of institution to fill the gap. Independent Staff Quarters for schools have not been supported under MsDP.

XII) **Girls Hostel for Nuh engineering College**: - The Empowered Committee considered the proposal of State Govt for construction of a girls hostel for NUH Pala Engineering College. The State representative informed the EC that the land for construction belongs to WAQF. Since the land is not a Govt owned land, the Empowered Committee did not agree for the project as it would not be as per para 7.4(VII) of guidelines of Restructured MsDP.

The EC decided to approve the following projects and the EC also decided to release the 1<sup>st</sup> installment of maximum upto 50% of the Central share for implementation of the projects:-

#### **District : Fatehabad**

#### i) Ratia Block

<sup>(</sup>Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Projects name	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1st Installment
1	Construction of ITI at Jakhandhari village	60:40	1	502.00	301.20	200.80	502.00	150.60
2	Construction of School building and hostel building for 120 students at KGBV School in Ratia Block	60:40	1	406.53	243.918	162.60	406.53	121.96
Tota	1				545.118	363.4	908.53	272.56

## <u>ii) Tohana Block</u>

## (Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Projects name	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1st Installment
1	Construction of ITI at Darsulkala village ( Khatauni no 687, Khasra no 53//22/2(5-18)23/2(5-13).	60:40	1	502.00	301.20	200.80	502.00	150.60
2	Construction of School building and hostel building for 120 students at KGBV School in Tohana Block	60:40	1	406.53	243.918	162.60	406.53	121.96
3	Construction of Anganwadi Centres at Amani, Rattakhera, Haiderwala, Chander Kalan, Nangla, Fatehpuri, Nanheri and at Japtewala.	60:40	8	4.50	21.6	14.4	36	10.8
Tota	l				566.718	377.8	944.53	283.36

## **District : Kaithal**

## i) Guhla Block

## (Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Projects name	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1st Installment
1	Toilets in Schools	60:40	23	2.18	30.08	20.06	50.14	15.04
2	Construction of Anganwadi Centres at Majri, Kharodi, Kharkara,Bhuna, Bhagal, Sarola, Gagarpur and Bhusla.	60:40	10	4.50	27	18	45	13.5
3	Construction of Additional Class Rooms in Kharkara, Bhuna, Kharodi, Sadarheri, Peedal, Arnouli, Bhunsla and at Seon Majra.	60:40	34	5.82	118.8	79.2	198.00	59.4
Tota	1				175.88	117.26	293.14	87.94



## **District : Sirsa**

### i) Ellanabad Block

(Rs. in lakh)

	T				1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1993	A State of the second s	
S.	Projects name	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1st Installmer
1	Construction of ITI at Jivan Nagar village	60:40	1	502.00	301.20	200.80	502.00	150.60
2	Construction of Health sub Centers at Karivala, Mithapura and Amritsarkala village	60:40	3	32.00	57.60	38.40	96.00	28.80
3	Construction of School building and hostel building for 120 students at KGBV School in Ellanabad Block	60:40	1	406.53	243.918	162.60	406.53	121.96
	Total				602.718	401.8	1004.53	301.36

## ii) Baraguda Block

## (Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Projects name	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1st Installment
1	Construction of Health sub Centers at Sahawala village	60:40	1	32.00	19.20	12.80	32.00	9.60
2	Construction of School building and hostel building for 120 students at KGBV School in Badaguda Block	60:40	1	406.53	243.918	162.60	406.53	121.96
	Total				263.118	175.4	438.53	131.56

## iii) Odhan Block

## (Rs. in lakh)

S. No.	Projects name	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	1st Installmen
1	Construction of Health sub Centre at Kalawali	60:40	1	32.00	19.20	12.80	32.00	9.60
	Total				19.20	12.80	32.00	9.60

#### **District Mewat**

i) Nuh Block

#### (Rs. in lakh)

S.	Projects name	Sharing	Unit	Unit	Central	State	Total	1st Installment
No.		ratio		cost	share	share	cost	
2	Construction of HS School in Chilawali	60:40	1	414.24	248.544	165.696	414.24	124.272
	Total				248.544	165.696	414.24	124.272

#### ARUNACHAL PRADESH

The Empowered Committee considered the block plan submitted by the State Government of Arunachal Pradesh in respect of Minority Concentration blocks of 4 Minority Concentration districts. The State Government has submitted the declaration of State as prescribed in Appendix II of the guidelines for restructured MsDP. The project proposal of block plan was discussed with representative of State government and district authorities in detail. The Empowered Committee decided to approve the following projects and also release of 1<sup>st</sup> installment of the block plan i.e upto 50% of the central share in following manner.

#### **District: Tawang**

1. **Construction of Girls/Boys Hostel**: The Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh has submitted the project proposal for construction of 4 units of hostel for girls and boys. The Empowered Committee considered the projects and approved the construction of hostels (RCC structure) in each blocks of Tawang district. It was informed by the State authorities that the 30 bedded boys hostel proposed at Shobur in Tawang block would be a dormitory type, double storey RCC structure which includes bathroom and kitchen etc. in the hostel building. For hostel at KGBV in Mukto Block, the State Government informed that since the existing hostel does not fulfil the requirement of school, a new hostel building for accommodating 50 students has been proposed. The State Government confirmed that the construction cost projected for each hostel is as per State SoR. With respect to proposal of state government for construction of 30 bedded girls/boys hostel for Eklavaya Model school, Lumla, the E.C observed that such proposals need consultation with the line ministry. The project was deferred to next E.C so that a view may be taken in consideration with Ministry of Tribal affairs.

2. **Construction of PHC**: The State Government has proposed for the construction of PHC building at Kidpi at the cost of Rs.100lakhs. It was clarified by the State Government that the proposed asset would be SPT type and have the provision for separate toilets for ladies and gents, labour room etc. It was also informed by the State Govt that project has been approved by NRHM and the staff and land for the proposed asset are available.

The approval accorded by Empowered Committee is as under

#### (i) Name of MCB:- Tawang Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit Cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
0		I		d		1	-l	Rs. in lak
1.	Construction of 30 bedded boys hostel (RCC) Shobur Middle school	90:10	1	106.00	95.4	10.6	106.00	47.7
2.	C/o of PHC building(RCC) at Kitpi	90:10	1	100.00	90.00	10.00	100.00	45.00
	Total				185.4	20.6	206	92.7

### (ii) Name of MCB:- Lumla Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit Cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment
								Rs. in lakh
1.	Constructionof30boarderRCChostel(20+10)building forgirlsandboysGovtResidentialSchool,Socktsen	90:10	1	106.00	95.4	10.6	106.00	47.7
	Total				95.4	10.6	106.00	47.7

#### (iii) Name of MCB:- Mukto Block

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit Cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment
								Rs. in lakh
1.	Construction of 50 bedded girls hostel for KGBV Jang(separate room based)	90:10	1	171.76	154.584	17.176	171.76	77.29
2.	Construction of toilet for KGB Jang	90:10	1	8.00	7.2	0.8	8.00	3.6
	Total				161.784	17.976	179.76	80.89

#### **District : Changlang**

Construction of Girls: The Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh has submitted the project 1. proposal for construction of 4 units of girls hostel. The projects were discussed with the state Govt officials present during the meeting through video conferencing. The Empowered

Committee asked the state Government to elaborate the proposed structures and to justify the cost projected. It was informed by the State Government official that the detail of the project is not readily available with him. The district officer was not present in the meeting due to connectivity problem. Considering this the E.C deferred the consideration of the projects for the next meeting and requested the state government to submit detail information for the said

Construction of OT at CHC Jairampur: The State Government has proposed for the construction of OT at CHC Jairampur at the cost of Rs.20.3 lakhs. The State Govt has projects. conveyed that the project has been approved by NRHM and the staff and land for the proposed

asset are also available.

1

The approval accorded by Empowered Committee is as under:-

#### Nampong Manmao Block : (i)

S.no	(i) <u>Nampong War</u> Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment Rs. In lakh
5.110	Construction of	90:10	1	20.334	18.3006	2.0334	20.334	9.15
1.	Operation theatre vat CHC,Jairampur Total				18.3006	2.0334	20.334	9.15

## **District** : Lohit

1) Construction of Boys Hostel: The Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh has submitted the project proposal for construction of 1 unit of boys hostel. It was informed by the state authorities that it is 100 bedded boys hostel proposed at Namsai Block in Lohit district. The proposed asset would be double storey, dormitory type hostel with RCC structure and CGI roofing. The Empowered Committee approved the hostel on the State SoR and guideline of line ministries.

The approval accorded by Empowered Committee is as under:-

Namsai Block : (i)

S.no	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	<b>1<sup>st</sup> insta</b>
1.	C/o boys hostel with boundary wall for Govt Hr.Sec school, Namsai(dormitory	90:10	1	175.00	157.5	17.5	175.00	
	type)				157.5	17.5	175.00	78.75
	Total				10.10			

16

1 st

### **District: East Kameng**

The project proposals for MCBs of East Kameng were presented before the Empowered Committee for its consideration. The EC could not take any decision on the proposal as no representative of the district was present during the meeting either through VC or in person.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

6

2-4.9

1

\*\*\*\*\*