F. No. 3/4/2011-PP-I GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS

MINUTES OF THE 44th MEETING OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER AND APPROVE THE REVISED DISTRICT PLANS UNDER MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME IN MINORITY CONCENTRATION DISTRICTS OF WASHIM (MAHARSHTRA), TIRAP (ARUNACHAL PRADESH), SIRSA (HARYANA), GULBARGA (KARNATAKA) AND CONVERSION FROM IN-PRINCIPLE TO APPROVED PROJECTS FOR RAMPUR (UP) AND SAHIBGANJ (JHARKHAND) HELD ON 18TH FEBRUARY, 2011 AT 11.00 A.M. UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS.

(Minutes relating to approvals of districts Karimganj, Nagaon, Kamrup and N.C. Hills and conversion from In-principle to approved projects for Morigaon (Assam) will be issued separately).

A list of members and officials present in the meeting is annexed.

2. At the outset, the Chairperson of the Empowered Committee stated that Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP) for Minority Concentration Districts (MCD) was now an iconic programme of the Central Government. The progress of implementation was reviewed on quarterly basis by the Delivery Monitoring Unit (DMU) in PMO (Prime Minister's Office) and the status of implementation of multi-sectoral development (MsD) plans in MCDs are placed on the web-site of the Ministry. The details consist of status of submission and approval of MsD plans and revised plans to the Ministry by the States, funds released to the States, progress of expenditure, number of work completed, in progress and yet to be started etc. Placing such details, including photographs, have made information available to the public and facilitated social audit. It was, therefore, imperative that the State Governments/UT Administrations should implement the programme properly as per schedule, and monitor and review the performance regularly and closely. State Governments/UT Administrations should particularly ensure that delay does not take place and funds, both Central and State share (wherever applicable), are released to the districts within one month of receipt from the Centre. The districts in turn should release funds to the executing agencies at the earliest so that work is started and completed within the scheduled period. The Quarterly Progress Report (QPR) format for MsDP comprehensively covers all important aspects of implementation of the programme and in the context of presenting the latest data and information accurately on the website, the States/UTs should ensure that QPRs with all its columns filled properly are submitted to the Ministry for every quarter ending within the stipulated date.

- 3. The Chairperson of the Empowered Committee explained the background how 90 minority concentration districts (MCDs) were identified as backward and why the scheme of multi-sectoral development programme (MsDP) was launched for addressing the 'development deficits' of such districts. He added that the baseline survey report, as envisaged in the programme, not only brought out the updated position in respect of the relevant parameters used for identification of such district, but also ranked the development deficits in order of the extent of deprivation in the district. It was expected that the MsD plan submitted by the State Government would address the identified development deficits in the order of priority ranked by a baseline survey so that the various interventions by MsDP would result in improvement of the backwardness parameters of a minority concentration district and bring the relevant parameters at par with the national averages. It was therefore important to ensure that, in case a deficit, ranked higher in the order of deprivation, was not proposed to be addressed by the plan, it would be incumbent on the part of the District Level Committee and the State Level Committee to do so or bring out the reasons for not doing so.
- 4. The Chairperson stated that the fact that these districts were not just MCDs, having a substantial minority population, but were also districts comprising of other communities who suffer from the same backwardness and deprivation, and that the identification of the district as backward was also based on four parameters which was for the whole district, should not be lost sight of. It was important to keep in mind that the large presence of minorities may have resulted in the identification of such districts for appropriate developmental intervention, but the scheme, while giving priority to villages/areas having a substantial minority population, was intended to benefit the district as a whole as it is a special area development programme. Villages/locations with the highest proportion (percentage) of minorities should be selected for location of project/assets so that the minorities enjoy the locational advantage of deriving maximum benefit from the asset provided. In respect of Indira Awas Yojana (IAY), the entire number of units sanctioned under MsDP would be given to BPL households, from minority communities as well as other communities, in order of the serial number in the approved wait list without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST). Improving the relevant backwardness indices upto national averages was the primary mandate of the scheme for inclusive growth. The programme envisages providing additional resources to various existing Centrally Sponsored Schemes (CSS) which were already addressing national concerns with time-

tested guidelines and implementation mechanism, especially those included in the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities, for saturating them in MCDs. As envisaged in the programme, the States/UTs were advised to ensure that topping up CSS, wherever appropriate, could be proposed in the district plan as these are established schemes and could be implemented with ease without setting up new structures for implementing them. The Chairperson emphasized that deviations from the existing guidelines of Centrally Sponsored Schemes were not permitted under the MsDP.

- 5. It was important to ensure that funds given under MsDP are to be treated as additionality for supplementing the resources for CSS and the existing level of resources allocated annually under various CSS to the district is not to be reduced. To prevent diversion of funds from MCDs, the flow of fund to the district concerned in the previous year would be taken as a benchmark. It was crucial that basic requirements like primary and secondary education, skill development, safe drinking water, housing etc. were addressed on priority, depending upon their deprivation ranking. The responsibility for eliminating duplication of work and avoiding double counting of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. It was stressed by the Chairperson that accounts under MsDP should be maintained separately and Central Ministry concerned informed of assets created in respect of CSS topped up under MsDP.
- 6. It has been noticed that in some cases that the State Governments have generally taken much time in releasing funds to the district/implementing agency. All sanction letters stipulate that funds should be released to the district/implementing agency within a month's time. Further, wherever more than a year has lapsed since the release of funds, 100% expenditure was required to be achieved and utilization certificate to that effect furnished for claiming release of 2nd instalment.
- 7. It was emphasized by the Chairperson that quality control was to be ensured through regular and frequent field visits and by testing samples of the construction work for which funds would be sanctioned by the Ministry on receipt of request from the State concerned. National Level Monitors have been appointed for each MCD and they have already started field visits to report on the quality of assets and the outcome/impact of the asset created. Further, Members of

Parliament (MP) and Member of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) have been included in the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the committee for MsDP. It was therefore important that the State Government give the highest priority in ensuring that the programme is implemented properly.

8. The provision in para 19 of the scheme of MsDP requiring erection or displaying a plate on a 'display board' was pointed out, which should have—Name of the project/scheme printed followed by "Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Govt. of India." For projects with longer construction period, in addition to above, the name of implementing agency, date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion and estimated cost of the project should be printed on the display boards. The State Government representatives were advised to put up a permanent display on completion of each project. For ensuring a close monitoring system, the Ministry has assigned one National Level Monitor for each MCD and States/UTs are being advised to maintain an inspection register wherever assets under MsDP have been created for enabling the Monitors and officials from the State, district and Centre to record their observations during their field visits.

General conditions applicable to projects approved by the Empowered Committee

- 9. For approvals given by the Empowered Committee, including in-principle approvals, the Principal Secretary/Secretary of States/UTs and the District Collectors/representatives were advised to note that the following conditions would apply to all projects under MsDP and compliance with these was to be ensured by the State Government/UT administration concerned, if not already complied with.
- (i) Approval of the State department/Mission Director for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) concerned specifically confirming that -
 - (a) there is a need for having the proposal sanctioned under MsDP;
 - (b) duplication has been ruled out;
 - (c) the proposal is as per norms, specifications, layout design and funding norms of the CSS guidelines concerned;
 - (d) the proposal has been prepared as per the unit cost/norms including cost norms, prescribed for the CSS concerned;

- (e) separate accounts for schemes under MsDP will be maintained and details sent to the Central Ministry concerned for maintaining proper record of assets and avoiding double counting and duplication;
- (ii) Confirmation from the State Secretary/Government representative that -
 - (a) the estimates have been prepared as per Schedule of Rates (SOR) of the State Government and have been approved by a competent engineering department of the State Govt:
 - (b) staff is available in respect of a functioning unit or staff would be provided in respect of a new unit;
 - (c) recurring expenditure would be provided by the State Government; and
 - (d) land is available and/or will be provided by the State Government
- (iii) The State Secretary/Government representative should confirm, if not indicated already in the minutes of the State level committee held for recommending the district plans, that:-
 - (a) State share, wherever applicable for CSS, would be provided as per its funding pattern by the State Government;
 - (b) Central funds will be released to the district/implementing agencies within one month of the receipt from the Central Govt.
 - (c) The State share shall be released alongwith the Central funds to the districts/implementation agencies.
 - (d) funds under MsDP will not be used for purchase of land, repairs, maintenance, staff, adjustment of any taxes and running of the scheme; and
 - (e) villages/locations with the highest proportion (percentage) of minority population will be selected for location of project/assets. A list of such villages/locations along with the proportion (percentage) of minority population of each village/location would be provided.

Review meetings of Oversight committee in State, and State and district level committees

10. The scheme of MsDP envisages quarterly review meetings by the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the review committees for MsDP. The State level committee also doubles as the Oversight Committee for MsDP. It was advised that quarterly review meetings for State level committee/State Oversight Committee and district level Committee should be held and copy of the meeting notice should be sent to the Ministry to enable a representative to attend State Level meetings as envisaged under MsDP. Members of Parliament have been nominated in such Committees by the Central Government and they should be invited to attend the meetings. MLAs are also to be nominated on the Committees by the State Government.

Sample testing and quality control

10.2 Need for ensuring quality control by having samples tested was emphasized. The Ministry will provide the expenditure required for this purpose from the administrative cost provision under MsDP. The State officials were advised to send proposals for release of funds for this purpose. The district officials confirmed that no separate allocation for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes for IAY units sanctioned under MsDP has been made and the entire IAY units are given to BPL families in the order their names appear in the IAY approved wait list even if they belong to non-minority communities. They understood that it was for this reason that villages/localities having the highest percentage of minority population were being selected for ensuring that maximum benefits are derived by persons from the minority communities.

Photographs

10.3 Photographs of work in progress and completed indicating type of assets, location/villages, date and time should be placed on the website of the district, State and the Ministry. The officials from the State and districts were impressed upon the need to have photographs, in digital format, sent to the Ministry and also host them in the official website of the State and district. The photos in digital format may be sent to the email address of Dr. Pardeep Kumar, Research Officer in the Ministry p.kamboj@nic.in

Preparation of revised district plan

11. After consideration of the district plans by the Empowered Committee meeting, in case there was still balance funds available for a MCD, it was advised for consideration of the committee at the State and district levels that the revised plan may be prepared to address the identified development deficits of the district and may include proposal for construction of hostels in secondary/higher secondary schools from class IX to XII where there is no residential school facility for girl and boy students. Girl students from rural areas passing out from KGBV may not be in a position to continue their studies at secondary/higher secondary level as there may be no hostel facility after class VIII. Boy students may require such facility from upper primary school level onwards as KGBV type of residential schools are not provided for them. Construction of hostels could be proposed and the conditions required to be kept in view are at Annex-A.

Further, additional class rooms as per SSA norms for upper primary school could be considered and proposed for KGBVs where class rooms also serve as dormitory for girl students.

Inter college/secondary/higher secondary school could be constructed under MsDP as per the scheme of D/o School Education & Literacy for setting up of 6000 model schools at block level under Kendriya Vidyalaya School (KVS) norms in conformity with the KVS/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) pattern. Requirement/conditions in this regard is at Annex-B

The availability of ITIs/increasing the capacity of existing ITIs for skill education in the district and also to facilitate training in a number of courses under Modular Employable Scheme would need to be considered and proposed for catering to students who, for various reasons, are unable to continue school education. In case the govt. is ready to give commitment for meeting the recurring expenditure including staff provision and provide land, construction of ITI along with hostel facility and machinery requirement as per NCVT norms could be proposed under MsDP. The conditions required to be kept in view are at **Annex-C.**

Similarly, in respect of construction of polytechnics the requirements laid down in **Annex-D** will be applicable.

Review by Oversight Committee and recommendations of the Empowered Committee

- 12. The implementation of projects approved earlier by the Empowered Committee (EC) for Washim (Maharashtra), Tirap (Arunachal Pradesh), Sirsa (Haryana), Gulbarga (Karnataka) were reviewed by the Oversight Committee. The officers from the States were advised to ensure that photographs of work indicating the location/villages, date and time are placed on the website of their district and the State, and a digital copy sent by email to this Ministry..
- (a) **Washim:** The QPR for the quarter ending March 2010 has been received. Utilization Certificate (UC) has been received for the IAY and AWCs projects, according to which, 79.63% and 98.98% amount has been utilized respectively.
- (b) **Tirap:** An amount of Rs. 449.595 lakh of Central share for construction of IAY houses was approved of which Rs.224.79 lakh has been released by the Ministry on 19.1.2011. The

representative of the State Govt. was requested to have the funds transferred immediately to the district alongwith the State share/MLA LAD fund.

(c) **Sirsa.** Second instalment has been released for all projects taken up in Sirsa district. Detailed Project Report for in-principle approved project for Skill development for 8th pass students for Rs.50.00 lakh as Central share has not been received. It was advised that this may be dropped as this has been delayed considerably and too much time has been taken already. The programme is in its penultimate year of the 11th Five Year Plan for implementation of MsDP, and it was suggested that projects which can be completed in a year's time may be proposed in the revised district plan.

<u>Item No. 1. Tirap (Arunachal Pradesh)</u>

Minority population in the Tirap district is around 51.56% of the total population. Tirap is a subcategory 'A' district and has both socio-economic and basic amenities parameters below national average. The tentative allocation of the district is Rs.17.80 crore for the 11th Five Year Plan. District plan of Tirap was considered twice viz. in the (i) 39th EC Meeting and (ii) 43rd EC Meeting wherein an amount of **Rs.1470.915lakh** (**Rs.449.595 lakh and Rs.1021.32 lakh**) was approved as **Central share.** Funds have not yet been transferred to the districts by the State Govt. and the representative from the State Government was advised that all Central funds were required to be transferred to the implementing agencies within one month of sanction. It was further advised that it should be ensured that MPLAD/MLALAD funds, as assured by the State Government should also be given to the implementing agency immediately. Information on these should be sent to the Ministry. The balance available was **Rs.309.085 lakh** and the following projects were proposed –

(i) Projects approved:

(a) <u>Construction of Anganwadi Centres at Khonsa and Lazu CD Block</u>: Percentage of fully vaccinated children in the State is 64.30% as compared to All India level of 43.5% and the percentage delivered in health facility for the State is 7.30% as compared to All India level of 38.7%. This was lower than the national average and this deficit needs to be addressed for improving this parameter.

Construction of 20 anganwadi centre buildings in Khonsa and Lazu CD block under MsDP @ Rs.3.00 lakh per unit for an amount of Rs.60.00 lakh has been proposed. A list of villages along with the percentage of minority population has been given in the district plan.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the construction of 20 units of AWCs @Rs.3.00 lakh per unit for a total cost of Rs.60.00 lakh as Central contribution from MsDP, subject to the conditions that the centres would be constructed as per the design, specification of the M/o Women and Child Development; the State Government will furnish a no duplication certificate that the AWCs have not been funded from any other sources of funding like M/o WCD, MHA, M/o DoNER, NEC, MP/MLA LAD etc; and a letter from the State Government confirming that the conditions in para 9 above would be adhered to. It was noted that a list of villages where AWCs for construction under MsDP would be located along with the percentage of minority population has been furnished.

The Empowered Committee approved the proposal, in the following manner:-

<u>S</u> .	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Central Share	State Share	1 st installment					
N.		no. units	cost (100%)		(0%)	cost	to be released				
				(Lakh Rs.)							
1	Construction of AWCs	20	3.00	60.00	-	60.00	30.00				

Release of 1st **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved for release of 50% of the Central share.

Balance available: - Against the tentative allocation for the district was Rs.1780.00 lakh, projects amounting to **Rs.1470.915 lakh** (**Rs.449.595 lakh in 39th + Rs.1021.32 lakh in 43rd**) of Central financial assistance have been approved leaving a **balance of Rs.309.085 lakh**. With the approval of **Rs.60.00 lakh** in the instant 44th EC meeting, the total approved amount would now be **Rs.1530.915 lakh**. A balance of **Rs.249.085 lakh** would still be available for proposing a revised district plan. The district authority and the State Government representatives were advised to refer to the contents of para 11 above and prepare a revised district plan to utilize the balance allocation fully. Further, it was advised that this was the penultimate year of the 11th Five Year Plan and that only one year was left for implementation of MsDP and this should kept in view and propose projects, preferably for increasing the number of units of already approved project for the district, which can be completed within a period of one year.

Item no. 2: Gulbarga, Karnataka

Item: 2. <u>Cancellation of approval and sanction of 20 ANM Sub-centres at Gulbarga district as these are taken up under NRHM and approving in its place proposal for upgradation of student hostels sanctioned under MsDP.</u>

Status of the proposal:

Construction of 20 ANM Centres was approved in 31st EC meeting held on 16.04.2010. After the funds have been sanctioned and at the implementation stage, it was found that for 14 ANM centres were being taken up under NHRM. The State Government has stated that the Health & Family Welfare Department was constructing health centres with quarters for doctors and staff members from NRHM funds. In view of this, the Sate Government has proposed that the funds of Rs.178.50 lakh for 14 ANM centres may be used for the upgradation of the capacity of 7 hostels approved for boys'/girls' in the 31st EC meeting from a capacity of 50 to 100 inmates.

The sanctioned amount of Central share of Rs.178.50 lakh (Rs.12.75 lakh x14 unit of ANM sub-centres as 85% of Central share) was now available. The enhanced cost of 100 bedded hostels for 7 units for boys/girls work out to be Rs.213.50 lakh @ Rs.30.50 lakh. Since the hostels funded under MsDP was in the ratio of 90:10, the Central share would be Rs.192.15 lakh and the State share of Rs.21.35 lakh. However, as the fund available is Rs.178.50 lakh only, the shortfall of Rs.13.65 lakh (Rs.192.15 lakh – Rs.178.50 lakh) would need to be met by the State Govt. from its resources. The State share and the shortfall work out to be Rs.35.00 lakh i.e.Rs.21.35 lakh + Rs.13.65 lakh. The representative from the district confirmed that these hostels would be constructed in Govt. school premises and stated that it would be for boys. The names of gram panchayats where these would be located have been furnished.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved to the proposal of making the changes for reasons stated above and approved the proposal for cancellation of approval for 14 units of ANM centres and upgrade the 50 beds capacity of 7 hostels approved in the 31st EC meeting to 100 beds capacity with the funds available. It was advised that preference may be given for making these as girls' hostels. The approval would be subject to condition that list of Government schools where the hostels would be located alongwith minority population should be furnished. Conditions stipulated in para 9 and annex A above would also apply.

The projects concerned approved in the 31st EC meeting, for which funds have already been released, would now stand modified by issuing of modified letter in the following manner:

Sl.	Name of the project for	Sharin	No.	Unit	Central	State	Total	1 st
no	Gulbarga (Karnataka)	g ratio	of	cost	share	share	cost	instalment
			units					amount to
								be released
					F	Rupee in 1	lakh	
Adı	ministrative Approval							
a	construction of ANM	85:15	6	15.00	76.50	13.50	90.00	38.25
	sub-centres							
b	Construction of 7 hostels	90:10	7	73.00	*446.25	51.10	511.00	223.12
	for girls/boys 100							
	capacity							

^{*} The difference of Rs.13.65 lakh (Rs.459.90 - 446.25 lakh) has been committed for contribution by the State Govt. from its own resources.

Item No: 3 – Rampur (UP)

Item: 3. <u>Conversion of in-principle approval into administrative approval for Rampur district (Uttar Pradesh) for construction of ITI building at Bilaspur and at Swar</u>

In-principle approvals for construction of two ITIs buildings at Bilaspur and Swar in Rampur district for Rs.250.00 lakh each was given in the 35th Empowered Committee on the condition that State Govt. would provide DPRs for appraisal by the line Ministry. The Ministry of Labour and Employment has considered the DPRs prepared by the State Government for construction of ITI building (including residential quarters for principal, security office and chaukidar at Bilaspur) and construction of ITI building at Swar and has conveyed no objection for approving the cost of Rs.399.72 lakh and Rs.393.30 lakh for ITI in Bilaspur and Swar respectively amounting to a total of Rs.793.02 lakh. Against the fund required for construction of two ITIs approved by the M/o Labour and Employment for Rs.793.02 lakh, there would be a shortage of Rs.146.02 lakh as the balance fund available for the district under MsDP was Rs.647.00 lakh only. It was stated that residential quarters for principal, security office and chaukidar was an essential element for ensuring that new institutes do not face any operational difficulties and was provided under the scheme of the Ministry of Labour & Employment for ITIs set up away from main habitation. The State Govt. has confirmed its willingness for providing the shortfall of Rs.146.02 lakh as State contribution and has already assured that land was available, and staff and recurring costs would be provided.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposal for (1) construction of ITI building including residential quarters for Principal, Security office, Chowkidar at Bilaspur for the total cost of Rs.399.72 lakh in which Central assistance and State's contribution would be Rs.326.71 lakh and Rs.73.01 lakh respectively and (2) construction of ITI building at Swar for the total cost of Rs.393.30 lakh in which Central assistance and State's contribution would be Rs.320.29 lakh and Rs.73.01 lakh respectively. It was noted that the State Government has already agreed to provide the recurring cost, land, and has given commitment to provide staff. Conditions stipulated in para 9 above and Annex. C would also apply. The following approval was accorded:

S.	Name of the scheme	Total	Unit	Central	State	Total	1 st
N.		no.	cost	Share	contributi	cost	instalment
		units			on		(50%)
				(La	kh Rs.)		
1	Construction of ITI building plus residential quarters for Principal, Security office, Chowkidar at Bilaspur	1	-	326.71	73.01	399.72	163.355
2	Construction of ITI building at Swar	1	-	320.29	73.01	393.30	160.145
	Total			647.00	146.02	793.02	323.50

Release of 1st **Instalment**: The Empowered Committee approved for release of 50% of the Central assistance.

<u>Item No. 4 Sirsa District (Haryana)</u>

Minority population is about 27.94% of the total population of the district. Sirsa is a subcategory B1 of category B district and has socio-economic parameters below the national average. The tentative allocation of the district is Rs.15.00 crore for the 11th Five Year Plan. The literacy rate (as per 2001 Census) of the district Sirsa is 61.20%.

Project approved: Govt. of Haryana has submitted the revised proposal for the balance funds of Rs.169.10 lakh which are as under:-

(a) Construction of Additional Class Rooms at Govt. schools: Literacy rate (total) and female literacy rate has been identified as the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} deficit in the district respectively.

The proposal was for providing 55 ACRs at a total cost of Rs.146.31 lakhs at varying unit cost which includes:

- (i) 15 Govt. schools with 1 unit @ Rs. 2.91 lakh at a total cost of Rs. 43.65 lakh
- (ii) 11 Govt. schools with 2 unit @ Rs. 2.58 lakh at a total cost of Rs.56.76 lakh.
- (iii) 6 Govt. schools with 3 units@ Rs. 2.55 lakh at a total cost of Rs.45.90 lakh

There are 860 Govt. schools. At present 208347 students are enrolled in these schools. 1050 additional rooms are required as per the strength of the students in the concerned schools. The list of villages, estimated cost with percentage of minority population is already given in the proposal. The construction of the school rooms will be carried out by the respective Gram Panchayats/VECs.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the proposals made above as per details given below. Central contribution would be Rs.95.02 lakh and State share Rs.51.29 lakh as per the Centre – State funding ratio of 65:35. The approval was given on the conditions that approval of Mission Director of SSA/ State Education Department for schools that these were Government schools and there was a need for these additional rooms, the specifications and cost were as per SSA norms, the estimates would be prepared as per Schedule of Rates (SOR) of State Govt. and approved by a competent engineering department of the State Govt., staff was available; State share, land, and recurring expenditure would be made provided and assets created under MsDP would be maintained by the State Government. Conditions laid down in para 9 above and Annex 'B' will also apply. The approval was given in the following manner:

Summary of the projects of Sirsa district (Haryana) approved in 44th EC Meeting:-

S. N.	Name of the scheme	Total no. units	Unit cost	Central Share (65%)	State Share (35%)	Total cost	1 st instalment (50%)
				(Lakh	Rs.)		
1	Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village-Biruwalagudha	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
2	Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kuranganwali	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
3	Construction of Additional class room in GGPS, village- Phaggu	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
4	Construction of Additional class room in GGPS, village- Rori	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
5	Construction of Additional class room in GGPS, village- Sahuwala-I	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
6	Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Desu Jodhan	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
7	Construction of Additional class room in GGPS, village- Desu Malkana	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
8	Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Thiraj	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
9	Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Dabwali	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
10	Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Alikan	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675

GGPS, village- Dabwali	11	Construction of Additional class room in	2	2.58	3.35	1.81	5.16	1.675
In GPS, village- Alika 13 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Dabwali 3 2.55 4.97 2.68 7.65 2.485	12	_	3	2.55	4.97	2.68	7.65	2 485
GGPS village Dabwali	12	in GPS, village- Alika			1.57	2.00	7.05	2.403
GPS, village- Talwara khurd	13		3	2.55	4.97	2.68	7.65	2.485
GSSS village- Kalanwali	14				4.97	2.68	7.65	2.485
GPS, village- Nejadela kalan 17 Construction of Additional class room in GSSS, village- Rori 1 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945 0	15	GSSS, village- Kalanwali		2.55	4.97	2.68	7.65	2.485
Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mattar Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Alikan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Alikan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Alikan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Gindran Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Gindran Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Gindran Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Bupp Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Bhavdin Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Bhavdin Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matdadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Lohgarh Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Lohgarh Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matidadu Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain Construction o	16		3	2.55	4.97	2.68	7.65	2.485
in GPS, village- Mattar 1	17		3	2.55	4.97	2.68	7.65	2.485
GSSS, village- Alikan 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945	18		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GPS, village- Abholi	19		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GPS, village- Gindran 22 Construction of Additional class room in GGPS, village- Bupp 1.02 2.91 0.945	20		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Bhavdin	21		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Matdadu	22		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Lohgarh Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kariwala Construction of Additional class room in GGSS, village- Mallekan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mallekan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kuttawad Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kuttawad Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mameran kalan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mameran kalan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Jalalana Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Lakkaranwali Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Lakkaranwali Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain CONSTRUCTION CONSTR	23		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kariwala Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kariwala Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mallekan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kuttawad Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kuttawad Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mameran kalan Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Jalalana Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Lakkaranwali Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Lakkaranwali Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Lakkaranwali Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain Construction of Additional class room in CPS, village- Sukhchain CPS, village- Sukhcha	24		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GPS, village- Kariwala 27 Construction of Additional class room in GGSSS, village- Mallekan 1 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945 28 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kuttawad 1 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945 29 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mameran kalan 1 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945 30 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Jalalana 1 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945 31 Construction of Additional class room in GHS, village- Lakkaranwali 2 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945 32 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 1 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945	25		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GGSSS, village- Mallekan 28 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Kuttawad 29 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mameran kalan 30 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Jalalana 31 Construction of Additional class room in GHS, village- Lakkaranwali 32 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 33 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 34 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 35 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain	26		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GPS, village- Kuttawad 29 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Mameran kalan 30 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Jalalana 31 Construction of Additional class room in GHS, village- Lakkaranwali 32 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 33 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 34 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 35 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain	27		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GPS , village- Mameran kalan 30 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Jalalana 31 Construction of Additional class room in GHS, village- Lakkaranwali 32 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 33 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 34 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 35 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain	28		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GPS, village- Jalalana 31 Construction of Additional class room in GHS, village- Lakkaranwali 32 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 33 GPS, village- Sukhchain 34 GPS, village- Sukhchain 35 GPS, village- Sukhchain 36 GPS, village- Sukhchain 37 GPS, village- Sukhchain	29		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GHS, village- Lakkaranwali 32 Construction of Additional class room in GPS, village- Sukhchain 1 2.91 1.89 1.02 2.91 0.945	30		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
GPS, village- Sukhchain	31	GHS, village- Lakkaranwali	1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
Total 55 95.02 51.29 146.31 47.51	32		1	2.91	1.89	1.02	2.91	0.945
		Total	55		95.02	51.29	146.31	47.51

Release of 1st Instalment: The Empowered Committee approved for release of 50% of the total central share as first instalment.

Balance available:- The tentative allocation of the district is Rs.1500.00 lakh for the 11th Five Year Plan. Of this fund, the administrative approvals for (Rs. 900.90 lakh + Rs. 380.00 lakh) as central share in the 5th and 43rd EC meetings and Rs.50.00 lakh (central share) in 22nd EC meeting were accorded in various projects. In total EC has approved projects for Rs.1330.90 lakh (central share) out of the tentative allocation. With the approval of Rs.95.02 lakh in the instant 44th EC meeting, total approved amount would now be Rs.1425.92 lakh. The balance left is Rs.74.08 lakh. Detailed Project Report for in-principle approved project for Skill development for 8th pass students for Rs.50.00 lakh as Central share has not been received and this fund is also available for including in the balance fund available for preparing a revised district plan. It was advised that this may be dropped as this has been delayed considerably and too much time has been taken already. It was advised that this was the penultimate year of the 11th Five Year Plan and that only one year was left for implementation of MsDP. The State Government and district authority should keep this in view and propose projects, preferably for increasing the number of units of already approved project for the district which can be completed within a period of one year.

Item No. 5: Washim (Maharashtra) (Phase-III)

Minority population in the Washim district is around 25.89% of the total population. Washim is a sub-category 'B-2' district of category B having basic amenities parameters below the national average. The fund allocated for the MsDP Plan was Rs.15.00 crore for the 11th Plan period. Govt. of Maharashtra has submitted the revised proposal for the balance funds of Rs.220.75 lakh which has been considered and approved as under:-

(i) **Projects approved:**

Construction of IAY houses: Households with pucca walls ranked 2nd priority among the development deficits identified by the baseline survey of the district. Construction of 2100 units of IAY with Central share of Rs.551.25 lakh (2000 units in 16th EC in 1st phase & 100 units in 26th EC in 2nd phase) has already been approved. The proposal was for construction of 655 units of IAY houses at a total cost of Rs.294.75 lakh to exhaust tentative allocation for this district. It was noted that 2100 IAY houses have already been approved for Washim. However, the Additional Chief Secretary of the State Govt. dealing with the minority welfare department stated that housing was the 2nd priority after toilets and that there were gaps to be filled.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the construction of 640 IAY houses for a total cost of Rs.288.00 lakh against the proposal of 655 units of IAY houses, reduced to fit the availability of fund. Central contribution from MsDP would be Rs.216.00 lakh and Rs.72.00 lakh as State share as per the funding pattern of IAY between Centre and State in the ratio of 75:25 subject to the condition that BPL families should be selected in order of their serial number in the approved wait list for IAY houses irrespective of whether they belong to minority communities or other communities without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST). Villages with the highest proportion of minority population would be selected and the list of beneficiaries would be provided. In addition to conditions mentioned in para 9 above would apply. The following approval was accorded:

S.	Name of the project for	Sharing	Total	Unit cost	Central	State	Total	1 st instalment
No.	Washim (Maharashtra)	ration	no.		Share	Share	cost	amount to be
			units					released
						(Lakh Rs.)		
Admi	inistrative approval							
1	Construction of IAY houses	75:25	640	0.45	216.00	72.00	288.00	108.00
	Sub-total				216.00	72.00	288.00	108.00

Release of 1st Instalment: The Empowered Committee approved for release of 50% of the central share.

Balance available:- The tentative allocation of the district is Rs.1500.00 lakh for the 11th Five Year Plan. Of this fund, the Empowered Committee has already approved projects amount to Rs.1279.25 lakh. With the approval of Rs.216.00 lakh in the instant 44th EC meeting, the total approved amount would now be Rs.1495.25 lakh. The balance left is Rs.4.75 lakh which has been kept aside for administrative cost for 2011-12. With these approvals the tentative allocation for the district has been **exhausted in full.**

(For item No. 6 to 10, minutes will be issued separately)

Item No. 11:-Sahibganj (Jharkhand):

Sahibganj is a sub-category 'A district having socio-economic and basic amenities parameters below national average. The fund tentatively allocated for Sahibganj district under the MsDP was Rs.53.70 crore for the 11th Five Year Plan.

<u>Construction of ITI at Kadi Village:</u> District plan of Sahibganj was considered in the 10th Empowered Committee meeting. The Empowered Committee accorded in-principle approval for

the Construction of ITI at Kadi Village in Sahibganj district (Jharkhand) for the total cost of Rs.300.00 lakh. Later on, in the 40th Empowered Committee meeting it was converted into administrative approval subject to the condition that the State Govt. may select the location either at Kadi village or Rajmahal which has the highest percentage of minority population.

In response, the State Govt. has now requested that ITI may be constructed at Udhwa block in place of Kadi village of Pathna block. Copy of the Deputy Commissioner's reference shows that Kadi village has 55% minority population while Udhwa block has 62% of minority population.

The Empowered Committee considered and approved the State Govt's. request for change of location of ITI approved in the 40th Empowered Committee meeting from Kadi village to Udhwa in Sahibganj district in view of its higher minority population, subject to the condition that this ITI should not have been taken up at the new location under any other scheme, including that of Ministry of Labour and Employment.

Item No. 12: West Champaran (Bihar)

A request was received from the State Government to consider the district plans of Bihar in another meeting along with other district plans which are being dispatched. Hence, the plan of district West Champaran (Bihar) was not considered.

Item No. 13: Tamenglong (Manipur)

No representative attended the meeting and as there were some clarifications required from the State Government, the matter relating to hostels for the district Tamenglong (Manipur) was not considered.
