

**F. No. 3/101/2012-PP**  
**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**  
**MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS**

**MINUTES OF THE 62<sup>nd</sup> MEETING OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE UNDER MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME HELD ON 13<sup>th</sup> DECEMBER, 2012 UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS.**

The 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Empowered Committee for MsDP to consider and approve the revised district plans in minority concentration districts of Hingoli Prabhani & Washim (Maharashtra), Kolkata & Uttar Dinajpur (West Bengal) and Shamli(bifurcated from Muzaffar Nagar) & Siddharth Nagar (Uttar Pradesh) was held on 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2012. The representatives of the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation, Ministry of Labour & Employment and Ministry of Health and family Welfare attended the meeting. The officials from State Government attended the meeting through video conferencing. A list of officials present in the meeting is annexed.

2. **Progress on website:** The progress of implementation of MsDP is reported on quarterly basis to the Delivery Monitoring Unit (DMU) in PMO (Prime Minister's Office) and the status of implementation of various projects in terms of funds released by the Ministry of Minority Affairs and utilized by the State/UT; number of works sanctioned, completed and works in progress is regularly monitored. These details and photographs of works are placed in the Ministry's website for transparency. State Governments/UT Administrations are required to review the implementation on quarterly basis; ensure that Central funds are released to the districts within one month of sanction; State share (wherever applicable) released along with the Central funds; ensure that the executing agencies start the construction works at the earliest, and complete the construction works within the scheduled period. A computer software application called MIS-MsDP has been made operational in July, 2011 and the States/UT have been advised to ensure that data is entered by all concerned so that Quarterly Progress Report (QPR), utilization certificate and various progress reports is generated through the software.

3. **Adhering to guidelines and eliminating duplication:** States/UTs should ensure that the proposals sent have the approval of the State Level Committee of MsDP / mission director of CSS concerned in order to ensure that the proposal, in terms of specification, norms, standards

*H. Mishra*

etc., is in accordance with the guidelines of the scheme concerned and that duplication has been ruled out. The responsibility for eliminating duplication of work and avoiding double counting of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. Accounts under MsDP should be maintained separately and Central Ministry concerned informed of assets created in respect of CSS topped up under MsDP.

4. **Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) guidelines:** MsDP provides that there would be no change in guidelines of any existing Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) under implementation in such districts for which this programme would provide additional funds. In case schemes for individual benefits are taken up under the programme, there shall be no divergence from existing norms for selection of beneficiaries from the list of BPL families in the district, so that benefits from the additional funds flow to all BPL families and not selectively. However, the provision under Indira Awas Yojana (IAY) guidelines for making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST) will not be followed under MsDP. The entire number of units sanctioned under MsDP would be given to BPL households, from minority communities as well as other communities, in order of the serial number in the approved wait list without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST).

5. **Timely release of funds:** The sanction letters of the Ministry stipulate that funds should be released to the district/implementing agency within a month's time, but the States/UT have been generally taking much time in releasing funds to the district/implementing agency. State shares, wherever applicable, are not released along with the Central funds by some States. Prompt release of funds was advised to ensure that works are started at the earliest.

6. **Utilisation Certificate:** For release of second installment, utilization certificate (UC) is required. If the UC is furnished within a period of one year after release by the Ministry, 60% UC would be required for releasing the next installment. However, if utilization is made beyond the period of a year, 100% utilization would be necessary. The UCs would need to be accompanied by QPR showing physical progress, photographs as proof of the work in progress and the phasing of funds for the release sought.

7. **Sample testing and quality control:** Quality control was to be ensured through regular and frequent field visits and by testing samples of the construction work for which funds would be sanctioned by the Ministry on receipt of request from the State concerned. All the States/UTs

A. Mishra

were advised to carry out field visits, quality control tests and review all works under MsDP to ensure that the shortcomings do not take place in their State/UT.

8. **Inclusion of Members of Parliament in State and district level committees:** Members of Parliament (MP) and Member of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) have been included in the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the committee for MsDP. MLAs are also to be nominated on the Committees by the State Government. It should be ensured that they are invited to attend the meetings of the district and State level committees.

9. **Display boards:** Para 19 of the scheme of MsDP provides for a 'display board' which should have- Name of the project/scheme printed followed by "Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Govt. of India." For projects with longer construction period, in addition to above, the name of implementing agency, date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion and estimated cost of the project should be printed on the display boards. States/UT should ensure this and put up a permanent display on completion of each project.

#### **General conditions applicable to projects approved by the Empowered Committee**

10. For approvals given by the Empowered Committee, including in-principle approvals, the Principal Secretary/Secretary of State's/UTs and the District Collectors/representatives were advised to note that the following conditions would apply to all projects under MsDP. State Government/UT administration concerned should ensure that the following have been complied with:-

**Approval of the State department concerned/Mission Director** for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) concerned have covered the following -

- (a) **Need** for having the proposal sanctioned under MsDP.
- (b) **Duplication has been eliminated.**
- (c) Proposal is as **per norms, specifications, layout design, funding norms and cost norms of the CSS guidelines** concerned.
- (d) **Separate accounts for schemes under MsDP will be maintained** and details sent to the Central Ministry concerned for maintaining proper record of assets and avoiding **double counting** and duplication.

*A. Mishra*

**14. District plans and the proposal contained therein considered by the Empowered Committee and their recommendations:-**

**(A). Maharashtra**

The Government of Maharashtra submitted additional plan proposals from Prabhani, Hingoli & Wasim. The State Government also committed the following with regard to proposals submitted:

1. All the projects have the SLC approval.
2. Land is available and estimates are as per SOR of the State.
3. 'No duplication certificate' has been issued by competent authority.
4. All the proposed projects are located in areas having substantial minority population.
5. Costs are derived from the standard cost of the State Government.
6. The maintenance and the recurring cost related to the assets proposed in this plan will be borne by the State Govt.

2. The State Govt. of Maharashtra has proposed construction of 100 bedded boys/girls hostel for minority students at a unit cost of Rs 653 lakh. EC noted that the cost seems to be on much higher side as compared to the cost at which hostels have been sanctioned earlier for the State during 11<sup>th</sup> Plan under MsDP. The State representative stated that the escalated cost is due to revised type plan of the State Govt. for implementing its own scheme of hostels for the students belonging to the minority community in 16 districts of the State and revision of SOR. In order to have uniformity in the designs of the hostels for minorities in the State, it would not be advisable to change the design of the hostels proposed under MsDP.

It was noted that for the type plan already adopted and other essential items proposed for hostel, the cost would be Rs. 310 lakh as per present rate of construction per sqm. EC agreed to the revised type plan of the State Govt. on the condition that central assistance for construction of hostels would be provided at the rate of Rs. 310 lakh and the additional cost for construction of hostels as per the revised State Type Plan will be borne by the State Govt.

(i) **Hingoli:** The total allocation for Hingoli district, Maharashtra was Rs. **15 crore** during 11<sup>th</sup> Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposals to address the development deficits in the

*G. Mishra*

district. State Government of Maharashtra has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Unit	Unit cost	Total cost
			<b>Rs. in lakh</b>	
1	Construction of Boys Hostel for minorities at Hingoli (M.P. is 31.55%) and Kalamnuri (M.P. is 27.02%) district Hingoli	2	653.00	1306.00
	<b>Total</b>			<b>1306.00</b>

**Decision:** The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the above projects subject to the condition at Para 2 above (i.e. 100 bedded hostel @ Rs. 310.00 lakh). The Empowered Committee approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as follows:-

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as 1 <sup>st</sup> installment
	<b>Administrative approvals</b>			<b>Rs. in lakh</b>				
1	Construction of Boys Hostel for minorities at Hingoli (M.P. is 31.55%) and Kalamnuri (M.P. is 27.02%) district Hingoli	50:50	2	310.00	310.00	310.00	620.00	155.00
	<b>Total</b>				<b>310.00</b>	<b>310.00</b>	<b>620.00</b>	<b>155.00</b>

(ii) **Prabhani :** The total allocation for Prabhani district, Maharashtra was Rs. 15 crore during 11<sup>th</sup> Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposals to address the development deficits in the district. State Government of Maharashtra has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Unit	Unit cost	Total cost
			<b>Rs. in lakh</b>	
1	Construction of Hostel Building for 100 Girls (Minority Commission) at Selu. Tq. Selu (M.P. is 22.94%)*, Pathri Tq. Pathri (M.P. is 26.15%) and Jintur Tq. Jintur (M.P. is 26.09%) district Prabhani	3	360.95	1082.85
	<b>Total</b>			<b>1082.85</b>

*Dr. Mishra*

**Decision:** The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the above projects subject to the condition at Para 2 above (i.e. 100 bedded hostel @ Rs. 310.00 lakh). The Empowered Committee approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as follows:-

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as 1 <sup>st</sup> installment
	<b>Administrative approvals</b>			<b>Rs. in lakh</b>				
1	Construction of Hostel Building for 100 Girls (Minority Commission) at Selu. Tq. Selu (M.P. is 22.94%)*, Pathri Tq. Pathri (M.P. is 26.15%) and Jintur Tq. Jintur (M.P. is 26.09%) district Prabhani	100:00	3	310.00	930.00	--	930.00	465.00
	<b>Total</b>				<b>930.00</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>930.00</b>	<b>465.00</b>

(iii) **Washim:** The total allocation for Washim district, Maharashtra was Rs. 15 crore during 11<sup>th</sup> Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposals to address the development deficits in the district. State Government of Maharashtra has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Unit	Unit cost	Total cost
			<b>Rs. in lakh</b>	
1	Construction of Boys Hostel for minorities at Washin Tq. Washim, Malegaon Tq. Malegaon, Risod Tq. Risod, Manora Tq. Manora (M.P. is 64.98%) and Karanja Tq. Karanja district Washim	5	500.00	2500.00
	<b>Total</b>			<b>2500.00</b>

**Decision:** The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the above projects subject to the condition at Para 2 above (i.e. 100 bedded hostel @ Rs. 310.00 lakh) and location having substantial percentage of minority population. The Empowered Committee approved the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as follows:-

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as 1 <sup>st</sup> installment
	<b>Administrative approvals</b>			<b>Rs. in lakh</b>				
1	Construction of Boys Hostel for minorities at Washin Tq. Washim,	50:50	5	310.00	775.00	775.00	1550.00	387.50

*W. Mishra*

Malegaon Tq. Malegaon, Risod Tq. Risod, Manora Tq. Manora (M.P. is 64.98%) and Karanja Tq. Karanja district Washim								
<b>Total</b>					<b>775.00</b>	<b>775.00</b>	<b>1550.00</b>	<b>387.50</b>

**(B). West Bengal**

The Government of West Bengal has submitted additional plan proposals from Uttar Dinajpur and Kolkata districts. The following clarifications/information has been submitted by the State Governments regarding construction of hat shed.

- i) The concerned Panchayat Samities (owner of the land) on which the hat sheds are proposed to be constructed will be the owner of Hat sheds.
- ii) Local Panchayat Samiti will manage the hat sheds by constituting Local Managing Committees consisting of representatives of Minority Self Help Groups, Destitute Women from minorities, Agriculturist and Artisans from minorities etc. who are mostly involved in business at micro level.
- iii) Local producers, rural artisans, small businessman, destitute minority women and SHG members of minority section will be the owner of the stalls of the hat sheds as they will be benefitted from the business evolved around hat shed.
- iv) The proposed locations for construction of hat sheds have the minority population of 51.60% at i) Goal Karandighi block, 50.04% at ii) Itahar block, 61.50% at iii) Goalpokher-II block, and 34.13% at iv) Raiganj block.

**(i) Uttar Dinajpur:** The total allocation for Uttar Dinajpur district, West Bengal was Rs. Rs. 76.50 crore during 11th Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposal to address the development deficits in the district during 12<sup>th</sup> Plan Period. An amount of Rs.36.08 crore has already been approved for the district. State Government of West Bengal has accordingly submitted the proposals for consideration which is as follows:

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing Ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central Share	State Share	Total cost	50% of the central share as 1 <sup>st</sup> installment may be released
--------	-----------------	---------------	------	-----------	---------------	-------------	------------	---

*G. Mishra*

				Rs. in lakh				
1	Construction of hat shed at i) Goal Karandighi block, ii) Itahar block, iii) Goalpokher- II block, iv) Raiganj block	75:25	4	100.00	300.00	100.00	400.00	150.00
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>300.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>400.00</b>	<b>150.00</b>

**Decision:** The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the above mentioned projects and also the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions.

(ii). **Kolkata:** The State Government has proposed for construction of 2.0 MG Semi Underground Reservoir and Booster pumping Station for a total cost of Rs.18.95 crore. The proposal could not be considered as no representative has attended the meeting from district.

**(C). Uttar Pradesh**

(i). **Shamli (bifurcated from Muzaffar nagar):** Shamli district has been bifurcated from Muzaffar nagar district after formation of the new Government in U.P. in 2012. The total allocation for Muzaffar nagar district, Uttar Pradesh was Rs. **51.80** crore during 11th Five year plan. The District has fully exhausted its allocation before March 2012. The district has submitted additional proposal to address the development deficits in the district during 12<sup>th</sup> Plan Period. It has been decided to approve approximately the 50% of the total allocation (Rs. 25.90 crore) for 11th Plan for the district. Further, plans for an amount of Rs.22.91crore has already been approved for the district.

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost
<b>Administrative approval</b>				(Rs. in lakh)			
<b>Shamli</b>							
1	Construction of ITI building at Thana Bhawan (55% m.p.)	100:00	1	*464.00	464.00	-	464.00
2	Tube-bell with TTSP (Tank type Stand Post) with installation of tubewell	75:25	10 Kl-1	8.04	60.244	20.081	80.325
3	Community toilet	70:30	9	0.35	2.21	0.94	3.15
<b>Total</b>					<b>526.454</b>	<b>21.021</b>	<b>547.475</b>

*G. Mishra*



\*The cost of ITI calculated for two units of each trade as per the NCVT norms.

**Construction of ITI at Thanabhawan as per NCVT norms**

Sl. No.	Trades	Cost of trades workshop	Cost of tools	Cost of administrative building
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	Refrigeration & Air-conditioner	12.672	18.1	
2	Electrician	15.459	13.10	
3	Draughtsman Mechanic	10.137	19.3	
4	Information Technology	12.672	11.10	
5	Wireman	10.137	07.10	
6	Fitter	13.939	21.65	
7	COPA	11.088	13.50	
8	Welder	10.454	09.50	
9	Hair & Skin care	7.603	07.50	
10	Mechanic Motor or Mechanic (Motor Vehicle)	33.58	47.50	
11	Fashion Technology	8.87	0	
12	<b>Plumber</b>	-	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>146.611</b>	<b>168.35</b>	<b>80.43</b>
	<b>Additional 30% of 227.041 (3+5)</b>	<b>68.1123</b>		
	<b>Grand total cost of ITI building + tools</b>	<b>463.5033 may be rounded upto 464.00</b>		

Decision: The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the above cost for ITI. The Empowered Committee (EC) decided to approve the projects on the following conditions:

**Construction of ITI:** State Govt. should ensure that trades of ITI have two units as per the norms of NCVT.

**Tubewell with TTSP:** State Level Scheme Sanctioning Committee (SLSSC)/PHED of NRDWP is to be obtained and furnish percentage of minority population of the proposed locations.

**Community toilet:** State Govt. should furnish percentage of minority population of the proposed locations.

*G. Mishra*

The Empowered Committee approved the following projects and also the release of first installment of 50% of Central share with usual terms and conditions as follows:-

Sl.No.	Name of schemes	Sharing pattern	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	50% of the total central share is being released as 1 <sup>st</sup> installment
	<b>Administrative approval</b>				(Rs. in lakh)			
	<b>Shamli</b>							
1	Construction of ITI building at Thana Bhawan (55% m.p.)	100:00	1	464.00	464.00	-	464.00	232.00
2	Tube-bell with TTSP (Tank type Stand Post) with installation of tubewell	75:25	10 Kl-1	8.0325	60.244	20.081	80.325	30.122
3	Community toilet	70:30	9	0.35	2.21	0.94	3.15	1.11
	<b>Total</b>				<b>526.454</b>	<b>21.021</b>	<b>547.475</b>	<b>263.232</b>

(ii). **Siddharth Nagar:** State Govt. was advised to revisit the proposals relating to of Drinking Water Supply giving full justification and also confirm that SLSSC approval has been obtained from the concerned department.

\*\*\*\*\*

*G. Mishra*