

**F.No.3/51/2013-PP  
Government of India  
Ministry Of Minority Affairs**

**11<sup>th</sup> Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan,  
CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,  
New Delhi-110003  
Dated: 24/09/2013**

**OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

**Subject: Minutes of 73<sup>rd</sup> Empowered Committee held on 12<sup>th</sup> -13<sup>th</sup> September, 2013 under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs to consider and approve the Multi – sectoral Development Programme plans of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Sikkim and Manipur.**

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith minutes of the above mentioned Empowered Committee meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> September under the Chairmanship of Secretary (Minority Affairs) for information.



(U.K. Sinha)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India  
Tel: 011.24364283

To:

1. Ministry of Finance, (Secretary, Department of Expenditure), North Block, New Delhi
2. Advisor (Social Sector), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhawan, New Delhi
3. Secretary, Ministry of Women & Child Development, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
4. Secretary, Ministry of Labour & Employment, Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi.
5. Secretary, Ministry of Rural Development, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
6. Secretary, Department of Drinking Water Supply, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
7. Secretary, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
8. Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
9. Secretary, Department of School Education and Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi

Copy to:

1. The Secretary, Minority Welfare Department, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.
2. Pr. Secretary, Backward Classes and Minority Welfare, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal – 462004.
3. Principal Secretary, Social Justice & Empowerment Department, Govt. Sikkim,
4. Addl. Chief Secretary, MI/MOBC, Govt. of Manipur, Imphal

**MINUTES OF THE 73<sup>rd</sup> MEETING OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE UNDER MULTI-SECTORAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME HELD DURING 12<sup>TH</sup>-13<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2013 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF MINORITY AFFAIRS.**

The 73<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the Empowered Committee (EC) for Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP) was held during 12<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> September, 2013, under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Minority Affairs, to consider and approve the project proposals with regard to the Minority Concentration Blocks (MCBs)/Minority Concentration Towns (MCTs) received from State Governments of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Sikkim and Manipur. The representatives of the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Department of Drinking Water and Sanitation and Ministry of Labour & Employment attended the meeting. The Officials from State Governments of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Sikkim and Manipur attended the meeting through video conferencing. A list of officials present in the meeting is annexed as annexure - I.

2. **Progress on website:** The progress of implementation of MsDP is reported on quarterly basis to the Delivery Monitoring Unit (DMU) in PMO (Prime Minister's Office) and the status of implementation of various projects in terms of funds released by the Ministry of Minority Affairs and utilized by the State/UT; number of works sanctioned, completed and works in progress is regularly monitored. These details and photographs of works are placed in the Ministry's website for transparency. State Governments/UT Administrations are required to review the implementation on quarterly basis; ensure that Central funds are released to the districts within one month of sanction; State share (wherever applicable) released along with the Central funds; ensure that the executing agencies start the construction works at the earliest, and complete the construction works within the scheduled period. A computer software application called MIS-MsDP has been made operational in July, 2011 and the States/UT have been advised to ensure that data is entered by all concerned so that Quarterly Progress Report (QPR), utilization certificate and various progress reports is generated through the software.

3. **Adhering to guidelines and eliminating duplication:** States/UTs should ensure that the proposals sent have the approval of the State Level Committee of MsDP / mission director of



CSS concerned in order to ensure that the proposal, in terms of specification, norms, standards etc., is in accordance with the guidelines of the scheme concerned and that duplication has been ruled out. The responsibility for eliminating duplication of work and avoiding double counting of a scheme under two funding sources vested with both the district authority and the State Government. Accounts under MsDP should be maintained separately and Central Ministry concerned informed of assets created in respect of CSS topped up under MsDP.

4. **Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) guidelines:** MsDP provides that there would be no change in guidelines of any existing Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) under implementation in such districts for which this programme would provide additional funds. In case schemes for individual benefits are taken up under the programme, there shall be no divergence from existing norms for selection of beneficiaries from the list of BPL families in the district, so that benefits from the additional funds flow to all BPL families and not selectively. However, the provision under Indira Awas Yojana (IAY) guidelines for making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST) will not be followed under MsDP. The entire number of units sanctioned under MsDP would be given to BPL households, from minority communities as well as other communities, in order of the serial number in the approved wait list without making separate allocation for Scheduled Castes (SC) or Scheduled Tribes (ST).

4.1. **Anganwadi Centre:** Where ever Aganwadi Centre has been approved, it should be ensured to follow the norms of Ministry of Women and Child Development that the minimum area of AWC would be 600 sq. feet with a sitting room for children/women, separate kitchen, store for storing food items, child friendly toilets and space for children to play, with drinking water facility.

5. **Timely release of funds:** The sanction letters of the Ministry stipulate that funds should be released to the district/implementing agency within a month's time, but the States/UT have been generally taking much time in releasing funds to the district/implementing agency. State shares, wherever applicable, are not released along with the Central funds by some States. Prompt release of funds was advised to ensure that works are started at the earliest.



6. **Utilisation Certificate:** For release of second installment, utilization certificate (UC) is required. If the UC is furnished within a period of one year after release by the Ministry, 60% UC would be required for releasing the next installment. However, if utilization is made beyond the period of a year, 100% utilization would be necessary. The UCs would need to be accompanied by QPR showing physical progress, photographs as proof of the work in progress and the phasing of funds for the release sought. The Utilization Certificates of the 2<sup>nd</sup> instalment should also be submitted by the States/UTs to the Ministry.

7. **Sample testing and quality control:** Quality control was to be ensured through regular and frequent field visits and by testing samples of the construction work for which funds would be sanctioned by the Ministry on receipt of request from the State concerned. All the States/UTs were advised to carry out field visits, quality control tests and review all works under MsDP to ensure that the shortcomings do not take place in their State/UT.

8. **Inclusion of Members of Parliament in State and district level committees:** Members of Parliament (MP) and Member of Legislative Assembly (MLAs) have been included in the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the committee for MsDP. MLAs are also to be nominated on the Committees by the State Government. It should be ensured that they are invited to attend the meetings of the district and State level committees.

9. **Display boards:** Para 19 of the scheme of MsDP provides for a 'display board' which should have— Name of the project/scheme printed followed by "Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Govt. of India." For projects with longer construction period, in addition to above, the name of implementing agency, date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion and estimated cost of the project should be printed on the display boards. States/UT should ensure this and put up a permanent display on completion of each project.

10. **General conditions applicable to projects approved by the Empowered Committee**



For approvals given by the Empowered Committee, including in-principle approvals, the Principal Secretary/Secretary of State's/UTs and the District Collectors/representatives were advised to note that the following conditions would apply to all projects under MsDP. State Government/UT administration concerned should ensure that the following have been complied with:-

**Approval of the State department concerned/Mission Director** for Centrally Sponsored Scheme (CSS) concerned have covered the following –

- (a) **Need** for having the proposal sanctioned under MsDP.
- (b) **Duplication has been eliminated.**
- (c) Proposal is as **per norms, specifications, layout design, funding norms and cost norms of the CSS guidelines** concerned.
- (d) **Separate accounts for schemes under MsDP will be maintained** and details sent to the Central Ministry concerned for maintaining proper record of assets and avoiding double counting and duplication.
- (e) **Estimates have been prepared as per Schedule of Rates (SOR)** of the State Government and have been **approved by a competent engineering department** of the State Govt.
- (f) **Staff is available** for functioning unit or will be provided for new unit.
- (g) **Recurring expenditure** would be provided by the State Government.
- (h) **Land is available and/or will be made available by the State Government in villages/locations having the highest proportion (percentage) of minority population.**

11. **Review meetings of Oversight committee in State, and State and district level committees:** The scheme of MsDP envisages quarterly review meetings by the State and district level committees for implementation of the Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme for the Welfare of Minorities which also serves as the review committees for MsDP. The State level committee also acts as the Oversight-Committee for MsDP. Quarterly review meetings for State level committee/State Oversight Committee and district level Committee should be held and copy of the meeting notice should be sent to the Ministry to enable a representative to attend State Level meetings as envisaged under MsDP. It should be ensured that Members of Parliament nominated in such Committees are invited to attend the meetings.

12. **Photographs:** Photographs of completed works and works in progress indicating type of asset, name of location/village and date should be placed in the MIS-MsDP web page and officials from the State and districts were impressed upon the need to have photographs, in digital format sent by email to **Shri U.K. Sinha, Under Secretary in the Ministry-ujjwal.sinha@nic.in** . The photographs are required for release of second installment.

13. The Chairman (EC) emphasized that the benefits accrued by the implementation of MsDP should go to the Minority Communities properly. Therefore, not only location of the assets in the areas having substantial minority population is important, but it is also equally important to see that the assets created are actually imparting benefits to the minorities. The State Government was impressed upon to propose the locations of projects under MsDP accordingly.

**Item No. (A) Uttar Pradesh**

14. The EC took note of the following information furnished by the State Secretary during the meeting:-

- (i) Land for all construction activities is available.
- (ii) The plan proposal has the approval of the State Level Committee for 15 PP.
- (iii) There is no duplication of the proposed work with any scheme of the Central Govt. or the State Govt. and the concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted.
- (iv) All the locations/catchment area proposed for the projects have at least 25% minority population.
- (v) Cost estimates for the different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/designs prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work.
- (vi) The project of Water Supply Scheme approved by the State Level Scheme Sanctioning Committee (SLSSC).
- (vii) Executing Agency have been selected for the projects

15. During the meeting, representative of Bijnor District requested the EC to consider proposals regarding installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP) in various Blocks of the Bijnor District sent with the approval of the State Level Committee. He informed that the components of TTSP are source creation, water tank and taps. EC agreed to consider all the proposals for TTSP sent by the State Govt.

16. The EC laid down the following specific conditions in respect of the approvals being accorded by EC under MsDP in its instant meeting:-

- (a) **The Anganwadi Centres** (AWCs) would be constructed as per the norms of Ministry of WCD, i.e., the minimum area of each AWC would be 600 sq. feet with a sitting room for children/women, separate kitchen, store for storing food items, child friendly toilets and space for children to play, with drinking water facility.



- (b) **The drinking water & sanitation projects** would be undertaken only after the approval of State Level Scheme Sanctioning Committee (SLSSC). The State Govt. will convey the approval of SLSSC for such projects to the Ministry of Minority Affairs (MoMA) at the earliest.
- (c) **Water Supply Schemes**: The funds approved for projects under Water Supply Schemes must be utilized for execution of such projects only excluding centage charges, maintenance charges and staff quarters.
- (d) **The project related to health** would be constructed as per NRHM norms.
- (e) **As per NRHM norms**, the expenditure pattern between Centre and States in respect of health projects has, inter alia, been revised in the ratio of 75:25 during 12<sup>th</sup> Plan from 85:15 in the 11<sup>th</sup> Plan.
- (f) **Sanitation**: According to the guideline of Nirmal Bharat Abhiyan (NBA) of Department of Drinking Water and Sanitation, one toilet blocks in schools which include water closet and two urinal for which the unit cost of Rs. 0.35 lakh and sharing ratio is 70:30 has been kept. One Community Toilet the unit cost is Rs. 2.00 lakh has been kept in the sharing ratio of 60:30:10.
- (g) **Construction of ITIs in Minority Concentration Towns (MCTs)**: State Govt. was advised to restructure their proposals for construction of ITIs in Minority Concentration Towns (MCTs) and bring their cost down to around Rs.500.00 lakh subject to fulfillment of NCVT norms. Initially, 5 to 8 trades depending on the local requirements may be taken up, which may, subsequently, be expanded. EC agreed to give approval on these conditions.
- (h) **Degree College**: The officials of Ministry of HRD conveyed that the guidelines on new model colleges in educationally backward districts (EBD) are being revised and during the 12<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan, the pattern of funding proposed is 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Central share and 1/3<sup>rd</sup> State share. Considering the estimate given by the State Govt. and excluding the centage, EC decided to approve Degree Colleges at Rs. 477.50 lakh each. The funds approved for projects under Degree College must be utilized for execution of such projects only excluding centage charges.

17. The EC considered the project proposals submitted by the State Government of U.P. in respect of 33 Minority Concentration Blocks/Towns (MCBs/MCTs) of 15 Districts, namely, Bijnor, Pratapgarh, Maharajganj, Ghazipur, Sant Ravidas Nagar, Kasganj, Kannauj, Sant Kabir Nagar, Basti, Sitapur, Ambedkar Nagar, Gautam Budh Nagar, Hardoi, Unnao and Amethi, of Uttar Pradesh identified for implementation of MsDP during 12<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan under restructured MsDP and decided to approve the following projects and also decided to release the 1<sup>st</sup> installment of 50% of the Central share for gap filling projects and 30% of Central share for non-gap filling projects for implementation of the projects so approved with usual terms and conditions:-

1. **Bijnor District**



(Rs. in lakh)

## (i) Mohammedpur Deomal Block

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of Govt. Girls Inter college at Block (43-48% m.p.)	75:25	01	300.00	225.00	75.00	300	112.50
2	Construction of Primary Health Centre at Dharmnagari(50% m.p.)	75:25	01	118.95	89.21	29.74	118.95	44.61
3	AWCs	100:00	9	4.23	38.07	00	38.07	19.04
	<b>Total</b>				<b>352.28</b>	<b>104.74</b>	<b>457.02</b>	<b>176.15</b>

(Rs. in lakh)

## (ii) Dhampur (Allahaipur) Block

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of Primary Health Centre at Sherkoat(50% m.p.)	75:25	01	118.95	89.21	29.74	118.95	44.61
2	AWCs	100:00	11	4.23	46.53	0	46.53	23.27
3	Installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP)	50:50	12	3.60	21.60	21.60	43.20	10.80
	<b>Total</b>				<b>157.34</b>	<b>51.34</b>	<b>208.68</b>	<b>78.68</b>

(Rs. in lakh)

## (iii) Afjalgarh Block

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of Govt. Girls Inter college at Afjalgarh (43-48% m.p.)	75:25	01	300.00	225.00	75.00	300	112.50
2	AWCs	100:00	23	4.23	97.29	0	97.29	48.65
3	Installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP)	50:50	30	3.60	54.00	54.00	108.00	27.00
	<b>Total</b>				<b>376.29</b>	<b>129.00</b>	<b>505.29</b>	<b>188.15</b>

(Rs. in lakh)

## (iv) Kotwali Block

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWCs	100:00	38	4.23	160.74	0	160.74	80.37
2	Installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP)	50:50	15	3.60	27.00	27.00	54.00	13.50
	<b>Total</b>				<b>187.74</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>214.74</b>	<b>93.87</b>

(Rs. in lakh)

## (v) Nehtaur Block

7



S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWC	100:00	20	4.23	84.60	0	84.60	42.30
2	Installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP)	50:50	15	3.60	27.00	27.00	54.00	13.50
	<b>Total</b>				111.6	27	138.6	55.8

(vi) Najibabad Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWC	100:00	25	4.23	105.75	0	105.75	52.88
2	Installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP)	50:50	15	3.60	27.00	27.00	54.00	13.50
	<b>Total</b>				132.75	27	159.75	66.38

(vii) Kiratpur Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWCs	100:00	15	4.23	63.45	0	63.45	31.73
2	Installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP)	50:50	12	3.60	21.60	21.60	43.20	10.80
	<b>Total</b>				85.05	21.6	106.65	42.53

(viii) Haldaur (Khari Jhalu) Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWCs	100:00	40	4.23	169.20	0	169.20	84.60
2	Installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP)	50:50	50	3.60	90.00	90.00	180.00	45.00
	<b>Total</b>				259.2	90	349.2	129.6

(ix) Jalilpur Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWCs	100:00	17	4.23	71.91	0	71.91	35.96
2	Installation of Tank Type Stand Post (TTSP)	50:50	12	3.60	21.60	21.60	43.20	10.80
	<b>Total</b>				93.51	21.6	115.11	46.76

2. Kasganj/Etah District

(i) Ganjdundwara Block

(Rs. In lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment
1	Upgradation of upper primary schools to High school at Sujawalpur, Samaspur, Maow, Qadarganj chowk, Qadargaanj and Rasoolpur Auroara (m.p. more than 80% to 90%)	75:25	6	58.12	261.54	87.18	348.72	130.77
2	Construction of health sub centre at Nagla Nyaz Ali(30-60% m.p)	75:25	1	9.29	6.97	2.32	9.290	3.49
3	Construction of Anganwadi Bhanvan at Mushinagar, Ganeshpur, Maow (40-50% m.p)	100:00	2	4.23	8.46	0	8.46	4.23
	<b>Total</b>				<b>276.97</b>	<b>89.5</b>	<b>366.47</b>	<b>138.49</b>

3. Maharajganj District

(i) Partawal Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1.	Construction of Health sub- Centre at Baswar (m.p. 28%) and Barayia (m.p. 25%)	75:25	2	11.00	16.50	5.50	22.00	8.25
2.	Construction of AWC	100:00	27	4.50	121.50	0	121.50	60.75
3.	ACRs for Primary schools and Upper primary schools (m.p. 25%)	75:25	24	2.01	36.18	12.06	48.24	18.09
	<b>Total</b>				<b>174.18</b>	<b>17.56</b>	<b>191.74</b>	<b>87.09</b>

4. Pratapgarh District

(i) Bela Pratapgarh (MB)

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of girls hostel (100 bedded) in Govt. Girls inter-college in the middle of Nagar Palika Parishad Begam ward and Paltan Bazar ward (80% m.p) (47% minority girls)	100:00	1	125.00	125.00	0.00	125.00	62.50
2	Govt. ITI at Nagar Palika Pratapgarh (in Purvi Sahodarprur)	100:00	1	500.00	500.00	0.00	500.00	250.00
					<b>625.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>625.00</b>	<b>312.50</b>

5. Sant Ravidas Nagar District

(i) Bhadohi (MB)

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of building of Primary Schools at Jalalpur ward no. 6 Mathurapur ward no. 19 and Poore Rahim ward no. 7 (90% m.p)	75:25	3	6.72810	15.14	5.04	20.18	7.57
2	ACRs at Primary school ward no. 20 Maryadpatti, ward no. 4 Darorpur (58-64% m.p)	75:25	2	2.01	3.02	1.00	4.02	1.51
3	Construction of toilets in primary school in various locations (locations has been given) (29-92% m.p)	70:30	8	0.35*	1.96	0.84	2.80	0.98
4	Construction of inter-college at Jalalpur Prath(64% m.p)	75:25	1	288.65	216.49	72.16	288.65	108.25
5	Construction of Anganwadi Centres	100:00	6	4.50	27.00	0	27.00	13.50

	(locations given in the proposal) (29-92% m.p)							
	<b>Total</b>				<b>263.61</b>	<b>79.04</b>	<b>342.65</b>	<b>131.81</b>

6. **Ghazipur District**

(i) **Bhadaura Block**

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of Aanganwadi Centre (Bara, Tajpur Kurra, Raksaha, Godsara, Sihani, Chilkoli, Usia, Saraila, Maniya and Dildarnagar) (m.p. in the range of 25.87% to 76.20%)	100:00	33	4.50	148.50	0	148.50	74.25
2	Construction of ACRs for Primary schools (Saraila, Yadav Ka Dera, Dildarnagar) and Upper Primary schools (Bara, Faridpur Usia, Palia Usia, Usia-I, Usia -II, Godsara, Bahuwara, Saraila) (m.p. in the range of 25.87% to 76.20%)	75:25	12	2.01	18.09	6.03	24.12	9.05
3	Drinking water supply for gram panchayat i. Tajpur kurra(75.07% m.p.) ii. Maniya (52.5% m.p.)	50:50	1	310.66	155.33	155.33	310.66	77.67
			1	268.73	134.37	134.36	268.73	67.19
					<b>456.29</b>	<b>295.72</b>	<b>752.01</b>	<b>228.16</b>

7. **Ambedkar Nagar District**

(i) **Tanda (MB)**

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of Inter college at Tanda (65% minority students)	75:25	1	315.00	236.25	78.75	315.00	118.13
	<b>Total</b>			<b>315.00</b>	<b>236.25</b>	<b>78.75</b>	<b>315.00</b>	<b>118.13</b>

8. Amethi District

(i) Singhpur Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of AWC (25% m.p.)	100:00	10	4.50	45.00	0	45.00	22.50
	<b>Total</b>				<b>45.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>45.00</b>	<b>22.50</b>

(ii) Bahadurpur Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWCs	100:00	8	4.50	36.00	0	36.00	18.00
	<b>Total</b>				<b>36.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>18.00</b>

(iii) Jagdishpur Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of AWC	100:00	8	4.50	36.00	0	36.00	18.00
2	Construction of building for Computer lab in Govt. Inter-college at Jagdispur (32-84% m.p.)	75:25	1	10.00	7.50	2.50	10.00	3.75
	<b>Total</b>				<b>43.50</b>	<b>2.50</b>	<b>46.00</b>	<b>21.75</b>

(iv) Bazar Shukul Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of AWC	100:00	10	4.50	45.00	0	45.00	22.50
2	Construction of Govt. Inter college at Bazar Shukul	75:25	1	*189.53	142.15	47.38	189.53	71.08
	<b>Total</b>				<b>187.15</b>	<b>47.38</b>	<b>234.53</b>	<b>93.58</b>

\*DM, Amethi, confirmed that the unit cost of Construction of Govt. Inter-college at Bazar Shukul is as per the present Schedule of Rates of the State.

9. Basti district

(i) Ramnagar Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of AWCs for Ramnagar Block (50-100% m.p.)	100:00	11	4.50	49.50	00	49.50	24.75
	<b>Total</b>				<b>49.50</b>	<b>00</b>	<b>49.50</b>	<b>24.75</b>

10. GautamBudh Nagar District

(i) Dadri (MB)

(Rs. in lakh)								
S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWCs (25% and above)	100:00	09	4.50	40.50	00	40.50	20.25
	<b>Total</b>				<b>40.50</b>	<b>00</b>	<b>40.50</b>	<b>20.25</b>

11. Hardoi District

(i) Shahbad (MB)

(Rs. in lakh)								
S. No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of AWCs at Primary School Premises (70% m.p. at the locations)	100:00	9	4.50	40.50	0	40.50	20.25
2	Construction of Govt. ITI at Nagar Palika Parishad Shahbad (Mishripur) (50% m.p.)	100:00	1	500.00	500.00	0	500.00	250.00
	<b>Total</b>				<b>540.50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>540.50</b>	<b>270.25</b>

12. Kannauj District

(i) Kannauj (MB)

(Rs. in lakh)								
S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of primary school at ward no. 25 Kdrauli Bangar, Nagar Palika Parishad Kannauj (95% m.p.)	75:25	1	6.728	5.05	1.68	6.728	2.53
2	ACRs in Kasturba Gandhi Awasiya balika vidhyalay at Nagar Palika Parishad Kannauj	75:25	02	2.51	3.77	1.25	5.02	1.89
	<b>Total</b>				<b>8.82</b>	<b>2.93</b>	<b>11.748</b>	<b>4.42</b>

(ii) Chhibramau (MB)

(Rs. in lakh)								
S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	ACRs in Kasturba Gandhi Awasiya balika vidhyalay at Nagar Palika Parishad Chhibramau	75:25	02	2.51	3.77	1.25	5.02	1.89
	<b>Total</b>				<b>3.77</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>5.02</b>	<b>1.89</b>

13. Sant Kabirnagar District

(i) Semriyawa Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of AWCs at Block Semriyawa (22-72% m.p.)	100:00	15	4.50	67.50	00	67.50	33.75
2	Construction of Health sub Centres at Block Semriyawa (22-72% m.p.)	75:25	07	10.50	55.13	18.38	73.50	27.57
	<b>Total</b>				<b>122.63</b>	<b>18.38</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>61.32</b>

(ii) Baghauli Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	AWCs at Block Baghauli	100:00	11	4.50	49.50	00	49.50	24.75
2	Construction of Health sub centres at Block Baghauli(34-82% m.p.)	75:25	04	10.50	31.50	10.50	42.00	15.75
3	Drinking water supply at Block Baghauli (34-82% m.p.)	50:50	02	149.69*	149.69	149.69	299.38	74.85
	<b>Total</b>				<b>230.69</b>	<b>160.19</b>	<b>390.88</b>	<b>115.35</b>

(iii) Sotha/Santha Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of AWCs at Block Sotha/Santha (27-100% m.p.)	100:00	39	4.50	175.50	00	175.50	87.75
2	Construction of health sub centres at Block Sotha/Santha (27-100% m.p.)	75:25	02	10.50	15.75	5.25	21.00	7.88
3	Pipe water supply at Block Sotha/Santha(27-100% m.p.)	50:50	01	271.07	135.54	135.53	271.07	67.77
	<b>Total</b>				<b>326.79</b>	<b>140.78</b>	<b>467.57</b>	<b>163.4</b>

14. Sitapur District

(i) Biswan Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of Inter-college at Gram Janua (45% m.p.)	75:25	1	312.91	234.68	78.23	312.91	117.34
2	ACRs in primary school at Gram Kareempanah , 2 ACRs in one school(74% m.p.)	75:25	02	2.01	3.01	1.01	4.02	1.50
3	Construction of health sub centre at Viram Kyontibadullah and Jamaura (80% m.p.)	75:25	02	9.29	13.94	4.64	18.58	6.97
<b>Total</b>					<b>251.63</b>	<b>83.88</b>	<b>335.51</b>	<b>125.81</b>

(ii) Laharpur Block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of Govt. Inter college at Gram Ganeshpur (70% m.p.)	75:25	1	312.91	234.68	78.23	312.91	117.34
2	ACRs in primary school at Gram Goriya prahaladpur (82% m.p.)	75:25	02	2.01	3.01	1.01	4.02	1.50
3	Construction of Health Sub-centres at Gram Taaranpur (52% m.p.)	75:25	02	9.29	13.94	4.64	18.58	6.97
<b>Total</b>					<b>251.63</b>	<b>83.88</b>	<b>335.51</b>	<b>125.81</b>

(iii) Mahmoodabad block

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Construction of Govt Inter college at Gram Lelkhurd (68% m.p.)	75:25	1	312.91	234.68	78.23	312.91	117.34
2	Upgradation of Junior high school at Babupur (65% m.p.)	75:25	01	58.12	43.59	14.53	58.12	21.79
<b>Total</b>					<b>278.27</b>	<b>92.76</b>	<b>371.03</b>	<b>139.13</b>

(iv) Laharpur (MB)

(Rs. in lakh)

S. No	Name of projects	Sharin g ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalment
1	Govt. Girls Degree College at LaharPur Nagar Palika Parishad (Science and	67:33	1	477.50	319.93	157.57	477.50	159.97



	Humanities at KGBC premises) (74% m.p.)							
2	Computer Lab & Library at Girls High School at LaharPur Nagar Palika Parishad (74% m.p.)	75:25	1	17.63	13.22	4.41	17.63	6.61
3	Govt. Girls hostel (40 bedded) at High School at LaharPur Nagar Palika Parishad (74% mp)	100:00	1	72.00	72.00	0	72.00	36.00
4	Construction of ACRs in Primary school at (i) Laharpur and (ii) Badautola (82% mp)	75:25	03	2.01	4.52	1.50	6.03	2.26
5	Construction of ACRs for Girls Government inter-College at Laharpur (70% m.p.)	75:25	06	10.25	46.12	15.37	61.50	23.06
	<b>Total</b>				<b>455.79</b>	<b>178.85</b>	<b>634.66</b>	<b>227.90</b>

15. Unnao District

(i) Unnao (MB)

(Rs. in lakh)								
S. No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> instalm-ent
1	Construction of Govt. Girls Degree College at Nagar Palika Parishad Unnao (70% minority girls)	67:33	1	477.50	319.93	157.57	477.50	159.97
2	Construction of Primary School buildings (location given in the proposal) (70% m.p.)	75:25	4	6.73	20.18	6.73	26.91	10.09
3	ACRs for Govt. Girls inter-college (60% m.p)	75:25	6	5.87	26.42	8.81	35.23	13.21
4	ACRs for G.I.C (50% mp)	75:25	10	5.87	44.04	14.68	58.72	22.02
	<b>Total</b>				<b>410.57</b>	<b>187.79</b>	<b>598.36</b>	<b>205.29</b>

Item No. (B) Sikkim

The State Govt. of Sikkim had submitted project proposals in respect of 2 Minority Concentration Blocks (MCBs) which were placed before the Empowered Committee (EC). The EC observed that unit cost of the projects ACRs, PHSC & 4 room school building are on

the higher side. The representative of the State Govt. assured that the justification for the prescribed unit cost will be sent.

### **Item No. (C) Manipur**

The Government of Manipur has submitted project proposals in respect of Thoubal C.D. Minority Concentration Block (MCB) of Thoubal district for implementation of MsDP during 12<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan under restructured MsDP for consideration of Empowered Committee. The State Government has also furnished the following information with the proposal.

- i) Land for all construction activities is available.
- ii) The plan proposal has the approval of the State Level Committee for 15 PP.
- iii) There is no duplication of the proposed work with any scheme of the Central Govt. or the State Govt. and the concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted.
- iv) All the locations proposed for the projects have atleast 25% minority population.
- v) Costs estimates for the different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/designs prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work.
- vi) DM, Thoubal informed that the Madarasas proposed for construction of ACRs are all Govt. Madrasas.

#### **Thoubal district**

##### **(i) Thoubal C.D. block**

**Construction of ACRs with Toilet at Sangaiyumpham High School:** - State Govt. has proposed construction of ACRs at Sangaiyumpham High School, Khongnanband Primary Madrasa, Irong Makha Primary Madrasa and Mojing Upper Primary School. EC found the cost of ACRs to be on higher side, therefore requested Govt. of Manipur to clarify in this respect.

Govt. of Manipur clarified that the estimates prepared are based on Manipur Scheduled Rate, 2011 and the estimate seems high due to inclusion of 5.60% VAT, 1% Labour Cess and 3% contingency charge for all the projects proposed. In addition to this, the cost of construction materials such as Reinforcement Cement Concrete (RCC), Bricks, Cement, Sands, Stones,



Ceiling, Labour cost etc. are very costly because of heavy transportation charges especially for far flung interior areas.

EC decided to approve the cost for construction of ACRs after deducting 8.60% (5.60% VAT+ 3% Contingency charge) from the proposed cost estimates.

**Construction of PHSC building at Phundrei:-** State Govt. has proposed construction of PHSC building at Phunderi and Labour room at PHC, Sangaiyumpham. EC found the cost of PHSC building to be on higher side, therefore requested Govt. of Manipur to clarify in this respect.

Govt. of Manipur clarified that the estimate cost includes a component of Rs. 10.28 lakh for the fencing component. The actual estimated cost for the work is Rs. 73.90 lakh (including separate three patient rooms, one staff room, one Doctor/Nurse room with four room toilets, electrification, water supply, sanitary installations etc.) and other remaining includes VAT, Cess and Contingency charge. The area of Building is about 200.20 sq. metres and 6.57 sq. metres for toilets.

EC decided to approve the cost for construction of PHSC after deducting 8.60% (5.60% VAT+ 3% Contingency charge) from the proposed cost estimates and Rs.10.28 lakh for fencing component as it is not covered under NRHM norms.

The Empowered Committee decided to approve the following projects after deducting 8.60% (5.60% VAT+ 3% Contingency charge) from all the projects and cost of fencing i.e. Rs. 10.28 lakh from the project Construction of PHSC at Phundrei and to release the 1<sup>st</sup> instalment of 50% of the Central share for implementation of the projects with usual terms and conditions:-

Sl. No.	Name of schemes	Sharing Ratio	No. of units	Unit Cost	Central Share	State Share	Total cost	Ist instalment
	<b>Education</b>							
1	Construction of 10 ACR with Toilet at Sangaiyumpham High School	90:10	1	85.30	76.77	8.53	85.30	38.39
2	Construction of 5 ACR at Khongnanband Primary Madrasa, Heitroipokpi, Khoirom	90:10	1	38.77	34.89	3.88	38.77	17.45
3	Construction of 5 ACR at Irong Makha Primary Madrasa	90:10	1	38.77	34.89	3.88	38.77	17.45

4	Construction of 5 ACR at Moijing Upper Primary School	90:10	1	38.77	34.89	3.88	38.77	17.45
5	Construction of PHSC building at Phundrei	90:10	1	64.64	58.18	6.46	64.64	29.09
6	Construction of Labour room at PHC, Sangaiyumpham	90:10	1	31.99	28.79	3.20	31.99	14.40
<b>Total</b>				<b>268.41</b>	<b>29.83</b>	<b>298.24</b>	<b>134.23</b>	

### Item No. (D) Madhya Pradesh

While forwarding the projects proposals the Govt. of madhya Pradesh has informed the following

1. Land for all construction activities is available.
2. The plan proposal has the approval of the State Level Committee for 15 PP.
3. There is no duplication of the proposed work with any scheme of the Central Govt. or the State Govt. and the concerned Director/Mission Director has been consulted.
4. All the locations/catchment area proposed for the projects have at least 25% minority population.
5. Cost estimates for the different works/projects are as per the standardized cost derived on the basis of norms/designs prescribed by the concerned Ministry for that particular work.
6. Executing Agency have been selected for the projects

The EC also took note of the following information furnished by the State Representative during the meeting:-

- (a) Skill Development Centres would be run by Technical Education and Skill Development Department. Its capacity would be 300 trainees/year and would follow short term modular courses of MES of GOI. EC agreed to the proposal subject to these centres fulfilling NCVT norms. They should be accordingly certified by concerned State Department.

The EC considered the project proposals submitted by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh in respect of 4 Minority concentration towns of 4 Districts, namely East Nimar, West Nimar, Indore and Sheopur of Madhya Pradesh identified for implementation of MsDP during 12<sup>th</sup> Five year Plan under restructured MsDP and decided to approve and to release the first installment of the following projects:-

#### 1. Indore District -Mhow Cantonment town

Rs. In Lakhs								
S.No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment
<b>Administrative approval</b>								
1	Construction of Primary School building at Banda Basti and Hyderabad Basti	75:25	2	19.50	29.25	9.75	39.00	14.62



2	Skill Development Center for 300 youth trainees per year	100:00	1	68.80	68.80	00	68.80	34.40
	<b>Total</b>				<b>98.05</b>	<b>9.75</b>	<b>107.8</b>	<b>49.02</b>

2. West Nimar District-Khargone town

Rs. In Lakhs

S.No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment
	<b>Administrative approval</b>							
1	Skill Development Center for 300 youth trainees per year	100:00	1	68.80	68.80	00	68.80	34.40
	<b>Total</b>				<b>68.80</b>	<b>00</b>	<b>68.80</b>	<b>34.40</b>

3. East Nimar District –Burhanpur town

Rs. In Lakhs

S.No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment
	<b>Administrative approval</b>							
1	Construction of Aanganwadi Bhavan	100:00	16	8.30	132.80	00	132.80	66.40
2.	Skill Development Center for 300 youth trainees per year	100:00	1	68.80	68.80	00	68.80	34.40
	<b>Total</b>				<b>201.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>201.6</b>	<b>100.8</b>

4. Sheopur District –Sheopur town

Rs. In Lakhs

S.No.	Name of projects	Sharing ratio	Unit	Unit cost	Central share	State Share	Total Cost	1 <sup>st</sup> installment
	<b>Administrative approval</b>							
1	Construction of Aanganwadi Kendra	100:00	8	8.23	65.84	00	65.84	32.92
2	Skill Development Center for 300 youth trainees per year	100:00	1	68.80	68.80	00	68.80	34.40
	<b>Total</b>				<b>134.64</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>134.64</b>	<b>67.32</b>

Regarding the proposal for Girl's hostel, the State Government was requested to furnish details about the location of the hostel, its management, number of minority students in the school for which the hostel is to be constructed. etc.

The Representative of State Government assured that he would be sending the DPR for the construction of Skill Development Centre (SDC). Further as mentioned by the representative of Ministry of Labour & Employment in the EC, the SDC would need to get affiliation from NCVT and the courses would be run on the norms and patterns of NCVT.

### Item No. (E) Assam

It has been informed by the State Govt. of Assam that the Panchayati Raj Act is not applicable in the Sixth Schedule areas of Assam and these areas are governed by the provisions of Sixth Schedule to the Constitution and they enjoy certain autonomy and all the development works are executed by the elected District Council. Further, as per established practice, committees for monitoring Centrally Sponsored Schemes are headed by Principal Secretaries of these Councils and not by the Deputy Commissioners of the Sixth Schedule Districts.

The State Govt. has proposed that the Principal Secretaries of the Councils may be made 'Chairman of the District Level Committees for Prime Minister's New 15 Point Programme' instead of the Deputy Commissioners and that for the Block Level Committee, the representative of the Council may substitute as Chairman Block level head instead of the block level head of Panchayati Raj.

As per the guidelines for implementation of MsDP, the Block Level Committee (BLC) headed by the Block Level Head of Panchayati Raj would be constituted in all the blocks identified for implementation of MsDP. The Block Level Committee would be responsible for preparing the plan of the block on the basis of felt needs of the minorities in the block. This Committee would also be responsible for monitoring this programme at the block level.

**Decision:** The EC considered and observed that the aim of the constitution of BLC is to decentralise the planning process from district level to the block level. The EC decided that as the Panchyati Raj Act is not applicable to the Sixth Schedule areas and the areas falling under the Bodoland Territorial Council, a Member of the council, preferably the Member who represents the area falling under the particular Block, would be made the Chairman of the BLC in such areas. However, the Deputy Commissioners have functioned as the Chairman of the District Level Committees during 11<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan Period and the same pattern would be continued during 12<sup>th</sup> Plan Period also. It may be noted that the District Level Committee recommends the Plan under MsDP to the State and monitors the implementation of MsDP and 15PP. The execution of the approved projects would be done by the Councils if that is the general practice for those types of projects in the State.

55. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

\*\*\*\*\*

